

Sociocultural Effects Evaluation

Florida Department of Transportation

District D6

NE 79<sup>th</sup> Street (SR 934) PD&E Study

Limits of Project: From West of Pelican Harbor Drive to East of Adventure Avenue


Miami-Dade County, Florida

Financial Management Number: 449007-1-22-01

ETDM Number: 14484

Date: March 2024

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) pursuant to 23 U.S.C. § 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 26, 2022 and executed by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT.



# **Sociocultural Effects Evaluation**

MARCH 2024

# 1 TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction .....	4
1.1	Project Summary.....	4
1.1	Purpose and Need.....	6
1.1.1	Purpose .....	6
1.1.2	Need.....	6
1.1.3	Conceptual Alternatives.....	7
2	Community Characteristics Summary.....	10
2.1	Community Characteristics.....	10
2.1.1	Social .....	10
2.1.2	Population and Income .....	10
2.1.3	Race and Ethnicity.....	13
2.1.4	Limited English Proficiency .....	15
2.1.5	Age and Disability.....	15
2.1.6	Housing .....	15
2.1.7	Community Facilities.....	16
2.1.8	Emergency Services.....	16
2.2	Land Use.....	17
2.3	Mobility.....	17
2.4	Aesthetics.....	19
3	Potential Effects.....	20
3.1	Social .....	21
3.1.1	Demographics .....	22
3.1.2	Community Cohesion.....	23
3.1.3	Safety .....	23
3.1.4	Community Goals/Quality of Life.....	23
3.1.5	Special Community Designations.....	23
3.2	Economic.....	24
3.2.1	Business and Employment .....	24
3.2.2	Tax Base .....	24
3.2.3	Traffic Patterns.....	24

3.2.4	Business Access .....	24
3.2.5	Special Needs Patrons.....	25
3.3	Land Use Changes .....	25
3.3.1	Land Use.....	25
3.3.2	Plan Consistency .....	25
3.3.3	Growth Trends and Issues.....	25
3.3.4	Community Focal Points .....	26
3.3.5	Agricultural Lands .....	26
3.4	Mobility .....	26
3.4.1	Mobility Choices.....	26
3.4.2	Accessibility .....	26
3.4.3	Connectivity .....	27
3.4.4	Traffic Patterns.....	27
3.4.5	Public Parking.....	27
3.5	Aesthetic Effects .....	27
3.5.1	Noise/Vibration.....	27
3.5.2	Viewshed.....	27
3.5.3	Compatibility.....	28
3.6	Relocation Potential.....	28
3.6.1	Residential.....	28
3.6.2	Non-Residential.....	28
3.6.3	Public Facilities.....	28
4	Recommendation and Commitments.....	29
4.1	Recommendations for Resolving Issues.....	29
4.2	Project Commitments .....	29
5	Environmental Justice, Civil Rights, and Related Issues.....	30
5.1	Protected Populations in SCE Study Area .....	30
5.2	Coordination and Participation.....	30
5.3	Summary of Project Effects.....	31
5.4	Mitigation and Enhancement Actions.....	31
5.5	Findings Regarding Disproportionate Adverse Effects .....	31

## 2 FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Study Area .....	4
Figure 1.2 Western Pair Existing Bridge Configuration (Bridge ID Numbers 870083 and 870549) .....	5
Figure 1.3 Eastern Pair Existing Bridge Configuration (Bridge ID Numbers 870084 and 870550).....	5
Figure 1.4   Preferred Bridge Typical Section .....	8
Figure 1.5   Preferred Roadway Typical Section.....	9
Figure 2.1 Communities and Resources.....	11
Figure 2.2 Census Block Groups .....	12
Figure 2.3 Land Use.....	18

## 3 TABLES

Table 2.1 SR 934 SCE Study Area Census Block Groups and Poverty Levels.....	13
Table 2.2 Miami-Dade County and SR 934 SCE Study Area Race and Ethnicity .....	14
Table 2.3 Race and Ethnicity by Census Block Group .....	14
Table 2.4 SR 934 SCE Study Area English Proficiency .....	15
Table 2.5 North Bay Village Community Facilities .....	16
Table 2.6 Emergency Services Locations .....	17
Table 3.1 Evaluation Criteria .....	21
Table 3.2 Degrees of Effect (ETDM #14484) .....	21

## 4 APPENDICES

Appendix A	Census Data
------------	-------------

# 1 Introduction

This Sociocultural Effects Evaluation summarizes the existing conditions in the study area and presents the potential impacts from the Preferred Alternative in accordance with the Part 2, Chapter 4 of FDOT's *PD&E Manual, Sociocultural Effects Evaluation*, which incorporates the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and related federal and state laws.

## 1.1 Project Summary

This project involves the potential replacement of four prestressed concrete slab (Sonovoid) bridges arranged in two locations as parallel bridge pairs connecting three islands within the City of Miami and North Bay Village in Miami-Dade County. The project also involves improvements to the roadway approaches within the limits of the study. The bridges are part of State Road (SR) 934/NE 79th Street (John F. Kennedy Causeway), a roadway classified as "Urban Principal Arterial - Other", which connects mainland Miami to Miami Beach and North Bay Village. The specific limits of the project extend from milepost (MP) 1.077 (west of Pelican Harbor Drive) to MP 1.947 (east of Adventure Avenue), as shown in **Figure 1.1**. The project's western study limits fall within the City of Miami, while the eastern study limits fall within North Bay Village. Outside the project limits, NE 79th Street is expected to remain as a six-lane urban principal arterial. Therefore, to align with the existing configuration and accommodate additional lanes being dropped or added at the intersections, the logical termini for this project involve NE 79th Street from west of Pelican Harbor Drive (western terminus) to east of Adventure Avenue (eastern terminus). These logical termini also allow for full inclusion of the intersection footprints. The western bridge pair, comprised of Bridge Identification (ID) Numbers 870083 (westbound) and 870549 (eastbound), is located just west of North Bay Island/Harbor Island. The eastern bridge pair, comprised of Bridge ID Numbers 870084 (westbound) and 870550 (eastbound), is located between North Bay Island/Harbor Island and Treasure Island. The project is approximately 0.8 mile in length.



Figure 1.1 Study Area

The existing western bridge pair (**Figure 1.2**) consists of six lanes, including four 11-foot-wide travel lanes to the inside and two 13.5-foot-wide travel lanes to the outside, and a raised median connecting the two bridge structures. The outside travel lanes include shared-use markings to accommodate bicycles. In addition, a 5-foot-wide raised sidewalk is present on each side of the bridge pair to the outside. The existing eastern bridge pair (**Figure 1.3**) consists of six 10-foot-wide travel lanes with a raised median connecting the two bridge structures, as well as a 5.5-foot-wide dedicated bicycle lane and a sidewalk varying between 5 and 6 feet in width (separated by guardrail) on each side of the bridge pair to the outside. The bridge approaches are generally consistent with the typical section of the bridges, except for east of the western bridge pair which includes dedicated bicycle lanes. Crossing over the Biscayne Bay, the bridges have a maximum vertical clearance of 6.78 feet at Mean Low Water and a minimum vertical clearance of 3.05 feet at Mean High Water. Biscayne Bay at the bridge crossings is not deemed a navigable waterway by the United States Coast Guard. The existing right-of-way varies along the project segment and ranges from approximately 100 to 130 feet.




Figure 1.2 Western Pair Existing Bridge Configuration (Bridge ID Numbers 870083 and 870549)




Figure 1.3 Eastern Pair Existing Bridge Configuration (Bridge ID Numbers 870084 and 870550)

## 1.1 Purpose and Need

### 1.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this project is to evaluate bridge replacement alternatives to address the structural deficiencies of four existing bridges (arranged in two locations as parallel bridge pairs) along State Road 934/NE 79th Street (John F. Kennedy Causeway). The project limits extend from Pelican Harbor Drive to Adventure Avenue within the City of Miami and North Bay Village in Miami-Dade County. The western bridge pair, comprised of Bridge Identification (ID) Numbers 870083 (westbound) and 870549 (eastbound), is located just west of North Bay Island/Harbor Island. The eastern bridge pair, comprised of Bridge ID Numbers 870084 (westbound) and 870550 (eastbound), is located between North Bay Island/Harbor Island and Treasure Island.

An additional project goal is to maintain emergency evacuation capabilities.

### 1.1.2 Need

The need for the project is based on the following criteria:

#### 1.1.2.1 Bridge Deficiencies: Address Substandard Structural Elements

The existing bridges were constructed in the early 1970s and have been determined to be Structurally Deficient given the condition of each bridge's superstructure (beams), which is referred to as "Sonovoid" design. Due to the structure type, the number of structural deficiencies, and the low clearance from the water, the bridge superstructures cannot properly be repaired.

Based on FDOT Bridge Inspection Reports prepared in October 2020, each of the four bridges received a Sufficiency Rating of 48.7 (on a scale of 0-100). The Sufficiency Rating is essentially an overall rating of a bridge's fitness to remain in service. A Sufficiency Rating below 50.0 may qualify a bridge for replacement funds.

As part of the inspection process, several structural components were evaluated and assigned a rank or condition based on the NBI system. The ranks/conditions were based on a scale of zero through nine. A rank of zero generally means that the bridge is out of service, beyond corrective action, and in need of replacement; a rank of nine means the bridge is in excellent condition and no deficiencies have been identified. The ranks/conditions for the structural components examined in the reports are as follows:

Bridge ID Numbers 870083 (westbound) and 870549 (eastbound)

- Deck: 4 (Poor)
- Superstructure: 4 (Poor)
- Substructure: 6 (Satisfactory)



Bridge ID Numbers 870084 (westbound) and 870550 (eastbound)

- Deck: 4 (Poor)
- Superstructure: 4 (Poor)
- Substructure: 7 (Good)

#### **1.1.2.2 Safety: Maintain Evacuation and Emergency Response Times**


Serving as part of the emergency evacuation route network designated by the Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM) and Miami-Dade County, NE 79th Street (including the bridges) plays a critical role in facilitating traffic between the beaches and the mainland of Miami during emergency evacuation periods. The project area is located in Storm Surge Planning Zone B, which is at risk for storm surge for Category 2 and higher storms. There is a need for the bridges to continue meeting emergency evacuation requirements.

#### **1.1.3 Conceptual Alternatives**

The PD&E Study evaluated multiple alternatives for addressing the existing bridge conditions. Alternatives evaluated include No-Build, minor and major rehabilitation, and full replacement. In addition, the PD&E Study also evaluated roadway typical section alternatives for improving pedestrian and bicycle facilities. The bridge analysis and roadway typical section evaluation is provided in the Bridge Analysis Report and Preliminary Engineering Report under separate cover.

The preferred alternative for the bridge replacement is Alternative 2B: Replacement (Profile #2). In Alternative 2B, the four existing bridges are to be removed and replaced with two newly constructed bridge structures. The bridge profile for Alternative 2B is raised approximately 3.6 feet, for a maximum elevation of 12.2 feet NAVD and minimum bridge low member elevation of 7.3 feet NAVD. The proposed bridge low member height provides a minimum vertical clearance of 6 feet above the projected Mean High Water (MHW) +1.3 feet NAVD for the bridge design year 2105. Due to the rise in elevation, driveway reconstruction and construction of gravity walls are necessary east and west of the bridge limits. The preferred bridge typical section upgrades the facility to FDOT standards, providing a raised median, six travel lanes (two 10-foot wide inside lanes and one 11-foot wide outside lane), 8-foot 4-inch bicycle lanes, and 6-foot barrier-separated sidewalks in each direction. The total bridge width is 110 feet 10 inches. **Figure 1.4** illustrates the preferred typical section. Alternative 2B fully complies with the minimum FDOT standards and would maximize the design life of the bridges.


Figure 1.4 | Preferred Bridge Typical Section



The preferred roadway typical section upgrades the facility to FDOT standards at the bridge approaches and the roadway segment at North Bay Island/Harbor Island, including providing a raised median, six travel lanes (two 10-foot inside lanes and one 11-foot outside lane), buffered bicycle lanes (7-foot wide), and sidewalks (6-foot wide) in each direction, as shown in **Figure 1.5**. The proposed roadway segment at Treasure Island transitions from the preferred roadway typical section at the bridge approaches to the existing typical section at the east project limit (4-foot wide bicycle lanes, 5-foot wide sidewalks).

Following the Public Alternatives Meeting, several typical section options were evaluated for the roadway segment from Pelican Harbor Drive to the western bridge pair to provide continuity of the bicycle lanes, upgrade the pedestrian facilities, and address roadside safety while minimizing right of way and environmental impacts. Options to add a Shared Use Path, Urban Side Path, or Separate Bicycle Lanes were considered and eliminated, because there are no existing paths along SR 934/NE 79th Street Causeway corridor outside the project limits and the on-street bicycle lanes provide continuity along the corridor. Based on the typical section evaluation, the preferred roadway typical section provides a raised median (15.5-feet wide) with Type F curb & gutter, six travel lanes (two 10-foot wide inside lanes and one 11-foot wide outside lane), bicycle lanes (4.25-feet wide), Type F curb & gutter, guardrail at the face of curb to shield the canal hazard (Biscayne Bay), and sidewalks (6-foot wide) in each direction. The preferred roadway typical section for this segment will require 0.136 acres in the form of a Fee Simple Purchase from Miami-Dade County for the new sidewalk and lighting. In addition, temporary construction easements will be needed for slope harmonization and bridge reconstruction. Additional details are provided in the Preliminary Engineering Report.

**Figure 1.5 | Preferred Roadway Typical Section**



The proposed drainage system is divided into four systems that will comply with all water quality and quantity requirements required by the permitting agencies having jurisdiction along the corridor. The stormwater runoff within each proposed system will be collected via curb inlets along both sides of the road and will be treated before discharging into Biscayne Bay. Due to right of way limitations, the use of dry retention swales, drainage wells and pump stations is limited. With these considerations and based on the existing permits available adjacent to the study area, the use of exfiltration trenches along the median of the project is being proposed. This method is the most widely used stormwater management system in South Florida that meets the stormwater quality and quantity criteria applicable to roadway projects and is preferred due to cost and maintenance. The exfiltration trenches are proposed at locations avoiding as much as possible conflicts with the existing underground utilities along the corridor.

## 2 Community Characteristics Summary

### 2.1 Community Characteristics

This Sociocultural Effects Evaluation utilized a Community Characteristics Inventory to summarize the existing socio-cultural conditions in the study area. The Community Characteristic Inventory incorporates social, economic, land use change, mobility, and aesthetic conditions in the study area. Those existing conditions are discussed in this section and potential effects are presented in Section 3.0.

The Community Characteristics Inventory helps describe the communities and identify any special populations. A map of communities and major community resources is provided as **Figure 2.1**. The term “project area” is used in this document to represent a smaller area that encompasses the existing SR 934 right-of-way and any additional right-of-way to form the footprint of the Preferred Alternative. The term “SCE Study Area” is defined as a larger geographic area that extends ¼ mile from the project area and is shown in **Figure 2.2**. Geographic Information System (GIS) analysis results, the Environmental Screening Tool, and available regional documentation were reviewed for each jurisdiction in the SCE Study Area.

#### 2.1.1 Social

The project is located along State Road 934/NE 79th Street, from Pelican Harbor Drive to Adventure Avenue (**Figure 2.1**). The westernmost project terminus is on an island that is part of the City of Miami and is occupied by Pelican Harbor Park and Marina. The project extends to the east to North Bay Island and Treasure Island, which are part of North Bay Village.

The City of Miami is a major metropolitan area in South Florida and the largest urban community in the State of Florida. It had a population of 441,889 in 2020 and approximately 70 percent of the population was Hispanic or Latino. Incorporated in 1945, North Bay Village is a small city within Miami-Dade County that is comprised of three islands, North Bay Island, Harbor Island, and Treasure Island (**Figure 2.1**). In 2020 it had a population of 8,117 that was 61.8 percent Hispanic or Latino.

#### 2.1.2 Population and Income

To better understand the socio-cultural environment in the SCE Study Area and identify the locations of any special populations, a review of the Miami-Dade County Census data was conducted. This information was obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau (2020 and 2021 Census in Florida, with selected fields from the 2021 American Community Survey) and includes data on Race, Ethnicity, English proficiency, Age, and Income.

Miami-Dade County has a total population of 2,701,767. The Census Block Groups that intersect the ¼ mile buffer area around the project have a total population of 10,243 with 4,566 households and are shown in **Figure 2.2**.



Figure 2.1 Communities and Resources




Figure 2.2 Census Block Groups

In Miami-Dade County, the percent population below the poverty level is 14.9 percent, slightly higher than the Florida average (13.1 percent). As seen in highlighted cells **Table 2.1**, there are two Census Block Groups within the SCE Study Area that have higher than the county average of residents living below the poverty line in the last 12 months (highlighted in bold).

**Table 2.1 SR 934 SCE Study Area Census Block Groups and Poverty Levels**

Area (County or Census Block Group)	Percent Population Below Poverty Level
Miami-Dade County	14.9
1	8.33
2	<b>16.76</b>
1	<b>22.69</b>
2	5.70
3	4.72
1	2.27
2	6.68

### 2.1.3 Race and Ethnicity

Data on race and ethnicity in Miami-Dade County and the SCE Study Area are provided in **Table 2.2** and **Table 2.3**. The population in the SR 934 SCE Study Area is 60.5 percent Hispanic or Latino, which is less than Hispanic or Latino percent population of Miami-Dade County (69.1 percent). Other minority groups that were above the county levels include Asian, making up 3.1 percent of the SCE Study Area and 1.6 percent of the county, and Other, making up 14.6 percent of the SCE Study Area and 8.6 percent of the Miami-Dade County population.

Minority populations in the overall SCE Study Area are not significantly different than the surrounding county. However, minority populations in five Census block groups in the SCE Study Area do differ significantly from county levels. Those Census block groups are highlighted in **Table 2.3**.

**Table 2.2 Miami-Dade County and SR 934 SCE Study Area Race and Ethnicity**

	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Other	Two or More Races	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic White
<b>Miami-Dade County</b>	24.7%	15.0%	0.3%	1.6%	0.00%	8.6%	49.9%	69.1%	12.5%
<b>SR 934 SCE Study Area</b>	57.1%	3.6%	0.0%	3.1%	0.00%	14.3%	21.8%	60.5%	26.0%

**Table 2.3 Race and Ethnicity by Census Block Group**

Block Groups	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Other	Two or More Races	Hispanic or Latino	Non-Hispanic White
1	50.81%	<b>33.22%</b>	0.00%	0.00%	<b>1.53%</b>	3.06%	11.38%	47.45%	52.55%
2	92.49%	2.12%	0.00%	<b>1.83%</b>	0.00%	3.56%	0.00%	67.32%	32.68%
1	39.97%	2.20%	0.00%	1.02%	0.00%	<b>48.39%</b>	8.42%	62.73%	37.27%
2	55.65%	11.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.05%	29.70%	56.72%	43.28%
3	62.27%	3.50%	0.00%	<b>3.06%</b>	0.00%	6.96%	24.21%	62.72%	37.28%
1	52.73%	0.00%	0.00%	<b>15.68%</b>	0.00%	7.39%	24.20%	54.96%	45.04%
2	64.49%	2.14%	0.00%	0.49%	0.00%	<b>10.47%</b>	22.41%	53.27%	46.73%



### 2.1.4 Limited English Proficiency

Based on U.S. DOT Policy Guidance, the FDOT has identified four factors to help determine if Limited English Proficiency (LEP) services will be required as listed in the FDOT PD&E Manual, Part 1, Chapter 11, Section 11.1.2.2. These factors are:

- Factor 1: The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be serviced or likely to be encountered by a program, activity, or service of the recipient or grantee;
- Factor 2: The frequency with which LEP persons come in contact with the program;
- Factor 3: The nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the recipient to people’s lives; and
- Factor 4: The resources available to the recipient and costs.

The LEP (speaks English “Less than Very Well”) populations for the Block Groups that intersect the SCE Study Area are listed in **Table 2.4**. Spanish, followed by Indo-European, is the most common single language group within the LEP for the SCE Study Area. Census block groups in the SCE Study Area have populations that speak English less than very well ranging from 4.69 percent to 44.94 percent.

**Table 2.4 SR 934 SCE Study Area English Proficiency**

Block Groups	Tract	Speaks English Very Well	Speaks English Well	Speaks English Not Well	Speaks English Not at All	Speaks English Less than Very Well (% in Block Group)
1	13.01	705	54	0	0	4.69%
2	13.01	609	52	36	0	10.99%
1	39.17	549	241	275	93	44.94%
2	39.17	647	125	73	0	20.14%
3	39.17	1516	445	414	111	34.34%
1	39.18	291	158	34	8	26.49%
2	39.18	939	230	42	18	16.73%
<b>Total</b>		5,256	1,305	874	230	25.08%

### 2.1.5 Age and Disability

Within the Block Groups that intersect the SCE Study Area, persons aged 65 and over comprise 5.62 percent of the population 5.11 percent of the population are disabled.

### 2.1.6 Housing

There are 10,124 housing units in the Block Groups that intersect the SCE Study Area. There are 3,490 (34.47 percent) owner-occupied units and 6,634 (65.53 percent) renter occupied units.

### 2.1.7 Community Facilities

Community facilities are any public or private places where members of a community gather or are relied upon for goods or services. Parks, churches, emergency services, and community organizations are just some of the facilities that may be important to the residents of a community. Community facilities located along the project limits are listed in **Table 2.5.** and some are shown in **Figure 2.1.**

**Table 2.5 North Bay Village Community Facilities**

Facility Type	Address
Community Center	
American Institute of Polish Culture	1440 79 <sup>th</sup> St. Causeway #117
Foreign Consulate	
Miami Consulate General of Poland	1440 79 <sup>th</sup> St. Causeway #117
Government	
City of North Bay Village City Hall	1666 John F Kennedy Causeway #3
Historic Standing Structures	
The Lido	7921 East Dr.
Harbor West Apartments	7910 West Dr.
Marinas	
Harbor West Marina	7910 West Dr.
Pelican Harbor Marina and Park	1275 NE 79 <sup>th</sup> St.
Park and Recreational Facilities	
Civic Pocket Park	East Drive- City Hall Plaza
Paul Vogel Park	7920 West Dr.
Pelican Harbor Park Boat Ramp	1275 NE 79 <sup>th</sup> St.
Religious Centers	
Chabad of North Bay Village- Kolel Harambam Congregation	1580 79 <sup>th</sup> St. Causeway
Schools	
Treasure Island Elementary School	7540 E Treasure Dr.

### 2.1.8 Emergency Services

Emergency services are an essential part of daily traffic usage along any major corridor. There are several emergency services locations which service the SCE Study Area and the surrounding areas. **Table 2.6** lists these services and their locations.

**Table 2.6 Emergency Services Locations**

Facility Type	Address
Fire Stations	
North Bay Village Fire Department Station 27	7903 East Dr.
Miami Fire Rescue Station 13	990 NE 79th St.
Police Stations	
Miami-Dade Police Department- Marine Patrol Unit	1841 Galleon St.
North Bay Village Police Department	1841 Galleon St.
Health Care/Laser/Group Care Facilities	
Bravo Health Care Center	1440 79th St. Causeway #1400
Medical Consulting Associates, PA	1440 79th St. Causeway #323A

## 2.2 Land Use

Land use planning is the systematic assessment of land and how communities govern its use to best meet the needs of the population while responsibly managing resources. Land use cover descriptions provided for both uplands and wetlands are classified utilizing the Florida Land Use Cover and Forms Classifications System (FLUCCS, FLUCFCS) designations. Historical images, aerial photographs, and land use mapping from the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) were utilized to determine current land use and habitat types within 500 feet of the project (**Figure 2.3**). Those land use types include Roads and Highways (3.6 percent), Commercial and Services (20.8 percent), Residential: Medium Density- Fixed Single-Family Units (12.5 percent), High Density- Multiple Dwelling Units (14.9 percent), Marinas and Fish Camps (0.1 percent), and Embayments Opening Directly to Gulf or Atlantic Ocean (48.1 percent).

## 2.3 Mobility

Mobility is the ability of people to move about freely; it encompasses all modes of travel, including pedestrian, bicyclist, and transit. FDOT’s mission statement is centered around providing a “safe transportation system that ensures the mobility of people and goods, enhances economic prosperity, and preserves the quality of our environment and communities.”

Pedestrian and transit features are consistent throughout the project area. There is an existing sidewalk adjacent to both eastbound and westbound SR 934 for the entire project limits; however, the existing sidewalk is not Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant and is narrow, directly adjacent to fast-moving traffic on SR 934, and partially blocked by light poles. Most of the project area has designated bike lanes for both eastbound and westbound SR 934, excluding the bridges where there is a shared-use bike/vehicle lane. Additionally, there are county bus stops located along SR 934 at Harbor Island Drive (westbound) and North Harbor Island (eastbound). Previously the City of North Bay Village has also maintained a free shuttle service around the Village, which includes eight stops within the SCE Study Area. However, more recently it appears that this service has been discontinued.




Figure 2.3 Land Use

## **2.4 Aesthetics**

The project corridor is currently used for transportation and islands in the project area are heavily developed with buildings, roads, and sidewalks. Bridges are common across Biscayne Bay in Miami-Dade County and the project does not propose introducing any new aesthetic elements to the landscape that differ from the existing, heavily urbanized conditions.

### 3 Potential Effects

The No Build Alternative would not meet the project Purpose and Need and is generally used as a baseline for comparison with the impacts from the Preferred Alternative. This Sociocultural Effects Evaluation analyzes and reports the potential impacts to the social environment from the Preferred Alternative.

It is the policy of the Florida Department of Transportation, under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Age Discrimination Act of 1975; Section 324 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1973; Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987; and related statutes and regulations, that no person in the United States shall, on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability/handicap, or income status, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination or retaliation under any federally or non-federally funded program or activity administered by the Department or its sub-recipients.

Transportation projects may have various types of impacts on the surrounding environment that can be categorized as either direct, indirect, or cumulative. Direct effects are caused by the action and occur at the same time and place. These include changes in the community that principally occur as a result of implementing a transportation project, such as acquisition of right-of-way and associated business displacements. Indirect effects occur later in time or are further removed in distance and may extend beyond the boundary of a community. Indirect effects may include growth-inducing impacts such as changes in land use patterns, population density, or growth rate. Cumulative effects result from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably future actions. Indirect and cumulative effects may be less obvious than direct effects but can alter the sociocultural environment. An example of an indirect effect would be a project that improves access to an undeveloped area, which stimulates development, causing population increases that result in local school overcrowding. Reviewers provide Degrees of Effect for the social, aesthetic, economic, land use, mobility, and relocation aspects of the existing environment. The Degree of Effect is determined using the criteria summarized in **Table 3.1**.

The Preferred Alternative was subjected to regulatory agency coordination and review through the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process. An Efficient Transportation Decision Making Summary Report (ETDM# 14484) was published on August 26, 2022, and **Table 3.2** reports the Degrees of Effect as determined by FDOT District 6, US Environmental Protection Agency and the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity, which has since been renamed FloridaCommerce.

Table 3.1 Evaluation Criteria

Degree of Effect	Sociocultural Resources
<b>Enhanced</b>	Project has positive effect on community. Affected community supports the proposed project.
<b>None</b>	Project has no effect on the affected community.
<b>Minimal</b>	Project has minimum adverse effect on elements of affected community. Minimum community resistance to the planned project. Little or no mitigation is needed.
<b>Moderate</b>	Project has adverse effect on some elements of the affected community. There is moderate community resistance to the planned project. Public involvement is needed to seek alternatives more acceptable to the community. Moderate community involvement is required during project development. Some mitigation or minimization is needed to gain support from the community.
<b>Substantial</b>	Project has substantial adverse effects on the affected community and faces substantial community resistance. Intensive community interaction with focused public involvement is required during project development to address community concerns. Project will need substantial mitigation to gain public acceptance.
<b>Potential Dispute (Coordination Required)</b>	Project is not in compliance with approved local government comprehensive plans, and/or affects Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 compliance.

Table 3.2 Degrees of Effect (ETDM #14484)

Agency	Social	Economic	Land Use	Mobility	Aesthetics	Relocation
<b>FDOT D6</b>	Moderate	Minimal	Minimal	Enhanced	Moderate	Minimal
<b>US Environmental Protection Agency</b>	Moderate	-	-	-	-	-
<b>FL Dept. of Economic Opportunity</b>	-	None	None	-	-	-

### 3.1 Social

Existing social conditions and demographic data within the SCE Study Area of the project are presented in Section 3.0. An analysis of race, age, and income was conducted for all areas within one-quarter mile of the Preferred Alternative. That data is reported in **Table 2.1**, **Table 2.3**, and **Table 2.4**. The Preferred Alternative would improve the social environment by replacing deteriorating bridges and by bringing sidewalks and bicycle lanes up to FDOT and ADA standards, enhancing safety and mobility. There would be no long-term impacts to roadway access or capacity. Short-term impacts from construction are anticipated from noise and vibration but access to businesses would be maintained. There would be no

relocations under the preferred alternative. No significant social impact issues associated with this project were identified.

Through the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process, the Degree of Effect for the social environment assigned by the United States Environmental Protection Agency was *Moderate*. The US Environmental Protection Agency made the following comments:

*The effects upon the community resulting from noise and the potential limitation of ease of local businesses' access to residents due to the proposed corridor will further determine the final degree of effect. Therefore, the EPA assigns a degree of effect on social impacts as Moderate until further project development.*

The US Environmental Protection Agency also recommended meaningful collaboration with the community to help identify any potential “pockets” of environmental justice communities within the census block. As outlined in the Public Involvement Plan, the study team reached out to local communities and stakeholders to help identify community resources and goals and to incorporate community feedback into alternative development.

For the above reasons, the overall Degree of Effect assigned to the social environment by FDOT during the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process is *Minimal*.

### **3.1.1 Demographics**

The percentage of persons aged 65 and over in the SCE Study Area (5.62 percent) is lower than that of Miami-Dade County (16.9 percent). There are two Census Block Groups within the SCE Study Area that have poverty levels higher than the county average. Some minority populations in the SCE Study Area are at higher percentage than Miami-Dade County. There is one Block Group with a higher percentage of Black or African American individuals (33.22 percent) than the county average (15.0 percent), three Block Groups with a higher percentage of Asian (1.83 percent, 3.06 percent, and 15.68 percent) than the county average (1.60 percent), one Block Group with a higher percentage of Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander (1.53 percent) than the county average (0.00 percent) and two Block Groups with a higher percentage of Other (48.39 percent and 10.47 percent) than the county average (8.6 percent). The SR 934 SCE Study Area has a Hispanic or Latino ethnicity of 60.5 percent which is less than Miami-Dade County (69.1 percent).

The Block Groups that intersect the SCE Study Area include a total of 2,409 (25.08 percent) persons above the age of 5 that would fall into the definition of LEP. Spanish, followed by Indo-European, is the most common single language group within the LEP for the SCE Study Area. On August 11, 2000, President Bill Clinton signed Executive Order 13166, “Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency.” The Executive Order requires Federal agencies to examine the services they provide, identify any need for services to those with LEP, and develop and implement a system to provide those services so LEP persons can have meaningful access to them. It is expected that agency plans will provide for such meaningful access consistent with, and without unduly burdening, the fundamental mission of the agency. The Executive Order also requires that Federal agencies work to ensure that recipients of Federal financial assistance provide meaningful access to their LEP applicants and beneficiaries.



FDOT complies with Executive Order 13166 through a determination that states, "... if demographic data indicates that 5 percent or 1000 persons or more in a project area speak a language other than English, then LEP accommodations should be required." Census data identifying LEP populations is provided in **Table 2.4**. Six out of the seven total Census block groups that intersect the SCE Study Area have LEP populations exceeding five percent. Project handouts and documents were available at the Alternatives Public Meeting and for download from the project website in English, Spanish, and Creole.

The project does not involve any relocations, so there are no known special cases such as handicapped or disabled displacements that warrant special assistance. The project would not result in long-term impacts to access or capacity and is not expected to contribute to social isolation of any special populations. No disproportional impacts to special populations are anticipated.

### **3.1.2 Community Cohesion**

The Preferred Alternative would not divide any communities or restrict access to existing community facilities in the long-term. It would improve mobility and safety through improvements to deteriorating bridges and sidewalks. Therefore, the project is not anticipated to have any significant negative impacts on community cohesion. The No Build Alternative would perpetuate existing conditions and would not bring pedestrian facilities up to FDOT Standards. The Preferred Alternative would improve safety through bringing sidewalks and bicycle lanes up to FDOT standards. This would help maintain an important regional connection to jobs, essential services, and destinations like Pelican Harbor Park as well as enhancing multimodal activity/access to these same amenities.

### **3.1.3 Safety**

The Preferred Alternative is expected to result in an enhancement regarding safety along the corridor due to improving sidewalks and bicycle lanes such that they meet current FDOT standards. There would be no long-term changes to vehicle access or capacity under the Preferred Alternative. The Preferred Alternative is not anticipated to result in any permanent changes to emergency services response times because this project would replace existing bridges and would not alter capacity or access. Access for all emergency services will be maintained throughout construction, with only minor potential decreases in response times due to traffic during construction.

### **3.1.4 Community Goals/Quality of Life**

No religious facilities, schools, cemeteries, or historical sites occur in the project area and no long-term impacts are anticipated. Short-term impacts to access along SR 934 during construction are anticipated under the Preferred Alternative. Following the Public Involvement Plan, residents within the Public Involvement Outreach Area were contacted to provide input into the decision-making process. No apparent incompatibility between the Preferred Alternative and the community goals or quality of life in the SCE Study Area has been identified.

### **3.1.5 Special Community Designations**

There are no historic neighborhoods, historic business districts, or any communities with other special designations in the SCE Study Area, so no impacts to such resources are anticipated.

## 3.2 Economic

Through the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process, the summary of degree of effect assigned to economic issues by the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity was *None/No Involvement* stating that the “proposed project adds efficiency improvements to the region’s transportation network”. The FDOT District 6 made the following summary comments:

*Overall, in the long-term, the proposed project is expected to improve both the economic conditions of the area and mobility by maintaining an important regional connection to jobs, essential services, and tourist destinations. In addition, the project is expected to enhance access to businesses/destinations for bicyclists and pedestrians through the potential provision of new and/or improved paved shoulders/marked bicycle lanes and sidewalks. However, a Summary Degree of Effect of Minimal has been assigned to the Economic topic as access to local businesses along the corridor may be modified or temporarily affected as a result of the project; no businesses are expected to be relocated.*

During the PD&E study, a review of potential impacts to commerce and the tax base was conducted and additional details are provided below. There would be no relocations or displacements under the Preferred Alternative and the project would improve connectivity, mobility and safety, so no significant negative economic impacts are anticipated. The Degree of Effect assigned to economic issues by FDOT during the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process is *Minimal*.

### 3.2.1 Business and Employment

Local businesses provide employment opportunities for residents in the SCE Study Area and contribute to the quality of life in the community. Businesses in the SCE Study Area are predominantly located along SR 934 in the Village of Bay Harbor and include two gas stations with entrance drives from SR 934. The Preferred Alternative does not require any business relocations and only temporary impacts during construction are anticipated, though access will be maintained during construction. The project would enhance connectivity and safe pedestrian access to local businesses and employment centers. For these reasons, no significant impacts to businesses or employment are anticipated.

### 3.2.2 Tax Base

The Preferred Alternative will not require any relocations and therefore would not have an impact on the tax base. Businesses in the area would benefit from the enhanced mobility.

### 3.2.3 Traffic Patterns

Long-term traffic patterns under the Preferred Alternative would be the same as the current existing condition. There would be minor, short-term impacts during construction. No additional capacity or long-term changes to traffic patterns are anticipated. Sidewalks and bicycle lanes would be expanded to meet current FDOT standards under the Preferred Alternative.

### 3.2.4 Business Access

The Preferred Alternative does not require any business relocations and business access will remain the same post-construction. Temporary impacts during construction are anticipated under the Preferred Alternative. Pedestrian access would be improved under the Preferred Alternative.

### 3.2.5 Special Needs Patrons

No special needs patrons were identified within the SCE Study Area.

## 3.3 Land Use Changes

### 3.3.1 Land Use

The Preferred Alternative would result in the conversion of land to transportation use in three locations in order to bring bicycle lanes and sidewalks up to FDOT standards. Approximately 0.136 acre of new right of way and 0.244 acre of temporary construction easement would be required from land that is currently part of Pelican Harbor Park, on the westernmost island in the SCE Study Area. These strips of new right-of-way are approximately three feet wide and are located on a narrow causeway between SR 934 and Biscayne Bay. Two small slivers of additional right-of-way totaling 0.007 acre would be required at the intersection of SR 934 and Adventure Avenue, at the eastern project terminus. No relocations or long term impacts to access or parking would result from the proposed project. Through the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process, the FDOT 6 made the following summary comments:

*Overall, the project appears to be consistent with the land use vision for the project area. Therefore, minimal impacts or changes to proximate land uses are anticipated as a result of the project. For these reasons, a Summary Degree of Effect of Minimal has been assigned to the Land Use Changes topic.*

During this PD&E study, a review of potential impacts to land use patterns, planning consistency, and growth trends was conducted, and details are provided below. The Preferred Alternative will not result in a change in the character or aesthetics of the existing landscape and is not anticipated to be the driver of land use changes in the region. The overall Degree of Effect assigned to land use changes by FDOT during the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process was *Minimal*.

### 3.3.2 Plan Consistency

The project is compatible with the community's development goals and is consistent with the Miami-Dade Comprehensive Development Master Plan. According to the City of Miami and North Bay Village Future Land Use Maps, and Miami-Dade County's Adopted 2030 and 2040 Land Use Plan, the project corridor will continue to support the noted land uses.

The project is included in the current FDOT State Transportation Improvement Program and the FDOT 2022-2026 Five-Year Work Program. The project is not currently listed in the Miami-Dade Transportation Planning Organization 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan or 2022-2026 Transportation Improvement Program.

### 3.3.3 Growth Trends and Issues

The Preferred Alternative would replace the existing bridges with no change in capacity. For this reason, the project is not anticipated to contribute to any growth but would provide service similar to the existing condition. The project is not expected to increase or decrease employment opportunities in the local economy. Regional employment opportunities will not be created or diminished as a result of the project.

### 3.3.4 Community Focal Points

The SR 934 SCE Study Area includes a variety of community focal points (**Table 2.5** and **Table 2.6**), including Pelican Harbor Park, religious facilities, community centers and emergency response. The Preferred Alternative will help improve access to these focal points for pedestrians and cyclists.

### 3.3.5 Agricultural Lands

Pursuant to the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) of 1981, 7 CFR Part 658, the SR 934 SCE Study Area was evaluated for the presence of agricultural lands. There are no agricultural lands present within the SCE Study Area, so it is anticipated that there will be no involvement with agricultural lands.

## 3.4 Mobility

Mobility is the ease with which people and goods move throughout their community, state, and world. Mobility is valuable because it provides access to jobs, services and markets. The proposed project is anticipated to enhance mobility through improved sidewalks and bike lanes that will be brought up to current FDOT standards. Through the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process, the FDOT District 6 made the following summary comments:

*The project is located within a transportation disadvantaged service provider area. In addition, based on the EST data, 13.27 percent or 629 persons intersecting the 1,320-foot buffer occupy housing units without a vehicle. Given the higher percentage of occupied housing units without a vehicle within the project area and the higher propensity of these housing units to use/rely on alternative transportation modes for travel, improvements to the bicycle and pedestrian network being considered as part of the bridge project are critical to accommodate the mobility needs of the present transportation disadvantaged population.*

Mobility features within the SCE Study Area include marinas, boat ramps and Miami-Dade Transit routes. The Preferred Alternative improvements are intended to enhance overall mobility in the area by maintaining an important regional connection between the islands and mainland of northern Miami-Dade County and enhancing access for bicyclists and pedestrians through the provision of new and/or improved paved shoulders/marked bicycle lanes and sidewalks. The overall Degree of Effect assigned to mobility by FDOT during the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process is *Enhanced*.

### 3.4.1 Mobility Choices

Travel patterns are expected to remain the same as the existing patterns under the Preferred Alternative, though short-term impacts during construction are anticipated. The Preferred Alternative would improve safety through bringing sidewalks and bicycle lanes up to FDOT standards. There would be no long-term impacts to access or capacity.

### 3.4.2 Accessibility

No long-term impacts to accessibility are anticipated because the Preferred Alternative would not alter capacity or change long-term access to homes, businesses, or community features. The Preferred Alternative would improve safety through bringing sidewalks and bicycle lanes up to FDOT standards and would involve short-term impacts during construction, though access will be maintained throughout the project.

### 3.4.3 Connectivity

No long-term impacts to connectivity are anticipated under the Preferred Alternative. The Preferred Alternative would bring sidewalks and bicycle lanes up to FDOT standards. There would be no long-term impacts to access or capacity, though short term impacts during construction are anticipated but would not sever connectivity.

### 3.4.4 Traffic Patterns

Because the Preferred Alternative would replace the existing bridges, no long-term changes to traffic patterns are anticipated. Short-term impacts are anticipated during construction but access throughout the project area will be maintained.

### 3.4.5 Public Parking

There are no public parking facilities within the project corridor and no impacts to parking are anticipated.

## 3.5 Aesthetic Effects

Through the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process, the FDOT District 6 made the following summary comments:

*Based on the City of Miami and the City of North Bay Village Future Land Use Maps, the project corridor will continue to support the noted land uses. As such, the proposed project improvements appear to be consistent with the future land use vision and aesthetic character of the corridor. The improvements are intended to enhance the physical use and appeal of the bridges/corridor for pedestrians and bicyclists with the provision of new and/or improved paved shoulders/marked bicycle lanes and sidewalks. However, minor changes in the width and height profiles of the bridges may alter viewsheds of the area from both the bridge and land/water standpoint.*

During this PD&E study, a review of the potential visual and noise/vibration impacts, viewshed, and compatibility issues was conducted, and details are provided below. The Degree of Effect assigned to aesthetics by FDOT during the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process is *Moderate*.

### 3.5.1 Noise/Vibration

A Noise Technical Memorandum was prepared for the project and assessed the potential for noise impacts. The Preferred Alternative would raise and widen the bridges and would widen portions of SR 934 to make them consistent with current FDOT standards. Short term noise/vibration impacts are anticipated during construction activities but will be limited in time and highly localized by construction activities. No detonation or blasting is anticipated during removal of existing bridge members. No significant impacts were identified during the analysis of noise/vibration. Furthermore, the project would not increase capacity on SR 934, no significant noise impacts are anticipated as a result of the Preferred Alternative.

### 3.5.2 Viewshed

The Preferred Alternative follows an existing roadway corridor with bridges and would not introduce any unnatural or unusual elements into the surrounding viewshed. The Preferred Alternative would replace

the existing bridges with visually-similar structures, but with a profile that is approximately six feet higher than the existing bridges. The raised profile is not anticipated to significantly impact the viewshed because there are existing tall buildings and infrastructure in the SCE Study Area and the elevated roadway would not be among the highest elements in the viewshed. Elevated bridges are common on roadways crossing Biscayne Bay and the bridges under the Preferred Alternative would be consistent with the overall setting. The bridges are not anticipated to block any important viewsheds.

### **3.5.3 Compatibility**

The Preferred Alternative would not introduce any structures or improvements that are incompatible with local aesthetics or would appear unusual in the current setting.

## **3.6 Relocation Potential**

Through the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process, the FDOT District 6 made the following summary comments:

*The bridges are anticipated to be replaced within the footprint of the existing bridges; slight adjustments to the width and/or height may be required to bring the structures to current design standards. Additional right-of-way is not anticipated; however, specific right-of-way requirements for the project will be determined during the Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study. No residential or business relocations are expected at this time; although, project impacts could include temporary driveway access closures and/or permanent driveway access modifications.*

During this PD&E study, a review of the relocation potential for residential, non-residential, and business facilities was conducted. No relocations are anticipated under the Preferred Alternative. The Degree of Effect assigned to relocation potential by FDOT during the Efficient Transportation Decision Making process was *Minimal*.

### **3.6.1 Residential**

There are no residential relocations anticipated.

### **3.6.2 Non-Residential**

There are no business relocations anticipated.

### **3.6.3 Public Facilities**

There are no public facilities that would require relocation or would experience long-term direct impacts.

## 4 Recommendation and Commitments

The Sociocultural Effects Evaluation Handbook recommends four strategies to resolve adverse sociocultural effects from a transportation project: avoidance, minimization, mitigation, and enhancement. Some of the solutions address short-term effects (during construction) and others are implemented to address long-term effects. Additionally, solutions to resolve one effect might create another adverse effect. Impacts to the social, economic, land use, mobility, aesthetic, or relocation status of the existing environment from the Preferred Alternative would either have no impact, have minimal impacts, or be beneficial for the communities in the SCE Study Area.

### 4.1 Recommendations for Resolving Issues

Through desktop and field reviews as well as public and stakeholder involvement, sociocultural resources in the SCE Study Area were identified. Those resources included Pelican Harbor Park, government buildings and other facilities noted in **Table 2.5** and **Table 2.6**. During the alternatives development process, impacts to sociocultural resources were avoided and minimized as much as possible. In general, direct impacts were minimized by avoiding the expansion of right-of-way into residences and businesses.

Temporary effects during construction that could affect disadvantaged or historically marginalized populations include construction-related traffic congestion, temporary travel pattern disruptions, construction noise, and temporary pedestrian street crossings. Best Management Practices will be employed during construction to minimize impacts.

### 4.2 Project Commitments

*This section will be completed after the public hearing and finalization of project commitments.*

## 5 Environmental Justice, Civil Rights, and Related Issues

This project has been developed in accordance with the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1968, along with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, and Executive Order 12898. Executive Order 12898, *Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations*, signed by the President on February 11, 1994, directs federal agencies to take appropriate and necessary steps to identify and address disproportionately high and adverse effects of federal projects on the health or environment of minority and low-income populations to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law.

All public meetings for the project were/will be advertised in accordance with the latest edition of the FDOT PD&E Manual, allowing opportunities for public participation activities by minority and low-income populations. Based on US DOT Policy Guidance, the FDOT has identified four factors to help determine if LEP services would be required as listed in the FDOT PD&E Manual, Part 1, Chapter 11, Section 11.1.2.2. Based on review of these factors and census data showing block groups with LEP populations as high as 44.94 percent, LEP services will be provided. To date, no comments have been received for this study regarding conflicts with Title VI of the Civil Rights of 1964 or related statute.

Based on the analysis, the Preferred Alternative would not cause disproportionately high and adverse effects on any minority or low-income populations in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12898 and FHWA Order 6640.23a. No further Environmental Justice analysis is required. Based on public engagement activities, no controversy is anticipated for the project. Overall, the project is anticipated to improve the quality of life for area residents by reducing improving pedestrian safety.

### 5.1 Protected Populations in SCE Study Area

The SCE Study Area includes low income, minority, and LEP populations (**Table 2.1**, **Table 2.3**, and **Table 2.4**). This project has been developed without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability, or family status. There were no special cases identified on this project such as handicapped or disabled displacements that warrant special assistance.

The project is not expected to contribute to social isolation of any special populations of elderly, handicapped, minority or transit-dependent groups. Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 guarantees each person equal opportunity in housing. Relocation resources are available to all business relocates without discrimination.

### 5.2 Coordination and Participation

A Public Involvement Plan has been developed and is being carried out as an integral part of the project. The purpose of this program is to establish and maintain communication with the public at-large and individuals and agencies concerned with the project and its potential impacts.



Various public outreach and agency coordination activities took place throughout the PD&E process to help develop, refine and evaluate the various alternatives. A complete summary of the meetings, including meeting notifications, presentations, display materials, comments, sign-in sheets and media coverage is provided in the Comments and Coordination Report, available separately.

### **5.3 Summary of Project Effects**

The Preferred Alternative was aligned and developed to minimize impacts to adjacent properties and remain within the existing right-of-way as much as possible. New right-of-way will be required from Pelican Harbor Park along each side of SR 934 as well as in two locations on Treasure Island in the Village of Bay Harbor. The project would not result in any relocations. No impacts to residences, businesses, religious facilities, schools, cemeteries, or historical sites are expected other than minor short-term disruptions during construction.

### **5.4 Mitigation and Enhancement Actions**

The Preferred Alternative has been designed to avoid or minimize potential impacts to the surrounding community as much as possible by following the existing roadway corridor and reducing new right-of-way as much as possible while meeting current FDOT standards. The Preferred Alternative will cause no substantial adverse impacts to neighborhoods or to social or community services.

### **5.5 Findings Regarding Disproportionate Adverse Effects**

The SCE Study Area includes low income, minority, and LEP populations but the Preferred Alternative would not result in any relocations or long term impacts. The project would enhance mobility and improve safety by bringing bike lanes and sidewalks up to FDOT standards. LEP services were provided through public involvement and outreach efforts. Based on the above discussion and analysis, the Preferred Alternative will not cause disproportionately high and adverse effects on any minority or low income populations in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12898 and FHWA Order 6640.23a. No further Environmental Justice analysis is required.

# **Appendix A Census Data**

<b>RACE</b>		<b>United States® Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	P1	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	Decennial Census	
VINTAGE:	2020	
DATASET:	DECENNIALPL2020	
PRODUCT:	DEC Redistricting Data (PL 94-171)	
UNIVERSE:	Total population	
FTP URL:	<a href="https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/2020/data/">https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/2020/data/</a>	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2020/dec/pl">https://api.census.gov/data/2020/dec/pl</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
GEOS	Miami-Dade County, Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 3; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida	
TOPICS	Populations and People	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?q=population+in+Miami-Dade+County,+Florida&amp;t=Populations+and+People&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=DECENNIALPL2020.P1">https://data.census.gov/table?q=population+in+Miami-Dade+County,+Florida&amp;t=Populations+and+People&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=DECENNIALPL2020.P1</a>
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	Note: For information on data collection, confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File Technical Documentation.
	For information on the statistical methods used to protect confidentiality in these tables, see Disclosure Avoidance and the 2020 Census.
	Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171)
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

<b>Label</b>	<b>Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>
Total:	2,701,767	1,313	771	1,972
Population of one race:	1,570,186	902	457	1,277
White alone	796,893	497	210	702
Black or African American alone	400,002	224	76	134
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	9,107	4	1	0
Asian alone	44,124	66	10	37
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	641	0	0	0
Some Other Race alone	319,419	111	160	404
Population of two or more races:	1,131,581	411	314	695
Population of two races:	1,115,170	400	307	680
White; Black or African American	11,866	16	5	19
White; American Indian and Alaska Native	4,642	3	5	0
White; Asian	6,220	8	1	1
White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	225	0	0	0
White; Some Other Race	1,049,995	359	282	643
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	1,704	2	0	0
Black or African American; Asian	2,087	0	1	0
Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	394	0	0	0
Black or African American; Some Other Race	32,699	11	13	16

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

<b>Label</b>	<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>
Total:	677	2,299	1,210	2,001
Population of one race:	428	1,329	770	1,303
White alone	278	891	506	969
Black or African American alone	37	105	56	113
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	2	13	6	4
Asian alone	10	71	51	43
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0	0	0
Some Other Race alone	101	249	151	174
Population of two or more races:	249	970	440	698
Population of two races:	249	933	413	694
White; Black or African American	4	26	3	11
White; American Indian and Alaska Native	0	13	0	0
White; Asian	4	13	14	6
White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	2	2	1	0
White; Some Other Race	232	842	386	665
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	5	1	1	0
Black or African American; Asian	0	2	1	2
Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	1	0	0
Black or African American; Some Other Race	1	30	5	8

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	140	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	21	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	3,122	1	0	0
Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	159	0	0	0
Asian; Some Other Race	1,403	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	493	0	0	1
Population of three races:	14,377	7	7	15
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	954	1	2	0
White; Black or African American; Asian	824	1	0	0
White; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	37	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Some Other Race	6,217	3	1	10
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	158	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	24	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	1	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	1	1	0
Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	1
Asian; Some Other Race	1	1	1	0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	1
Population of three races:	0	30	22	3
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	0	1	2	0
White; Black or African American; Asian	0	2	2	0
White; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Some Other Race	0	3	8	3
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	1	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0



Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	2,827	2	0	3
White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	127	0	0	0
White; Asian; Some Other Race	2,444	0	4	1
White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	138	0	0	1
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	27	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	4	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	230	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	67	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Some Other Race	216	0	0	0
Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	34	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	12	3	0
White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	1	0
White; Asian; Some Other Race	0	12	4	0
White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	6	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	33	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	5	0	0	0
Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	5	0	0	0
Population of four races:	1,881	4	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	88	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	19	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	1,192	4	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	1	0
Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Population of four races:	0	6	5	1
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	4	4	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	11	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Some Other Race	392	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	7	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	16	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	89	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	8	0	0	0
White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	44	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Some Other Race	0	2	0	0
White; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	1
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	1	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	4	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	11	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Population of five races:	138	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	22	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	95	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Population of five races:	0	1	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	1	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0



Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	13	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	6	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	2	0	0	0
Population of six races:	15	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	15	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P1

Label	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Population of six races:	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0

<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NATIVE AND FOREIGN-BORN POPULATIONS</b>		<b>United States<sup>®</sup> Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	S0501	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2021	
DATASET:	ACSST1Y2021	
PRODUCT:	ACS 1-Year Estimates Subject Tables	
UNIVERSE:	None	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs1/subject">https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs1/subject</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
GEOS	Miami-Dade County, Florida	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	
<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>		
	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?q=miami+dade+county&amp;tid=ACSST1Y2021.S0501">https://data.census.gov/table?q=miami+dade+county&amp;tid=ACSST1Y2021.S0501</a>	
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>		
	Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.	

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	<p>Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2021 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates</p>
	<p>Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented</p>
	<p>These data for the occupied housing units lines refer to the native or foreign-born status of the householder.</p>
	<p>Industry titles and their 4-digit codes are based on the 2017 North American Industry Classification System. The Industry categories adhere to the guidelines issued in Clarification Memorandum No. 2, "NAICS Alternate Aggregation Structure for Use By U.S. Statistical Agencies," issued by the Office of Management and Budget.</p>
	<p>Occupation titles and their 4-digit codes are based on the 2018 Standard Occupational Classification.</p>
	<p>The categories for relationship to householder were revised in 2019. For more information see Revisions to the Relationship to Household item.</p>
	<p>In 2019, methodological changes were made to the class of worker question. These changes involved modifications to the question wording, the category wording, and the visual format of the categories on the questionnaire. The format for the class of worker categories are now listed under the headings "Private Sector Employee," "Government Employee," and "Self-Employed or Other." Additionally, the category of Active Duty was added as one of the response categories under the "Government Employee" section for the mail questionnaire. For more detailed information about the 2019 changes, see the 2016 American Community Survey Content Test Report for Class of Worker located at</p>
	<p>The 2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineations due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities</p>
	<p>Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization</p>

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<p>Explanation of Symbols:- The estimate could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations. For a ratio of medians estimate, one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution. For a 5-year median estimate, the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.N The estimate or margin of error cannot be displayed because there were an insufficient number of sample cases in the selected geographic area. (X) The estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.median- The median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "2,500-")median+ The median falls in the highest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "250,000+").** The margin of error could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations.*** The margin of error could not be computed because the median falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution.***** A margin of error is not appropriate because the corresponding estimate is controlled to an independent population or housing estimate. Effectively, the corresponding estimate has no sampling error and the margin of error may be treated as zero</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Native	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total population	2,662,777	*****	1,234,511	±19,701
SEX AND AGE				
Male	49.0%	±0.1	50.5%	±0.4
Female	51.0%	±0.1	49.5%	±0.4
Under 5 years	5.5%	±0.1	11.1%	±0.2
5 to 17 years	14.7%	±0.1	25.8%	±0.4
18 to 24 years	8.1%	±0.1	11.7%	±0.4
25 to 44 years	27.2%	±0.1	26.8%	±0.7
45 to 54 years	14.3%	±0.1	9.1%	±0.4
55 to 64 years	13.4%	±0.1	7.1%	±0.3
65 to 74 years	9.3%	±0.1	4.8%	±0.3
75 to 84 years	5.2%	±0.1	2.6%	±0.2
85 years and over	2.4%	±0.1	1.0%	±0.2
Median age (years)	40.9	±0.2	25.8	±0.4
RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN				
One race	50.1%	±0.8	64.1%	±1.1
White	24.7%	±0.7	33.7%	±1.0
Black or African American	15.0%	±0.3	22.1%	±0.8
American Indian and Alaska Native	0.3%	±0.1	0.4%	±0.2
Asian	1.6%	±0.1	0.9%	±0.2
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0.0%	±0.1	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	8.6%	±0.6	6.9%	±0.8
Two or more races	49.9%	±0.8	35.9%	±1.1
Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race)	69.1%	*****	52.6%	±0.8

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Foreign born		Foreign born; Naturalized citizen	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total population	1,428,266	±19,701	892,800	±16,527
SEX AND AGE				
Male	47.7%	±0.4	45.5%	±0.6
Female	52.3%	±0.4	54.5%	±0.6
Under 5 years	0.6%	±0.2	0.4%	±0.2
5 to 17 years	5.1%	±0.4	2.6%	±0.4
18 to 24 years	5.0%	±0.3	3.6%	±0.4
25 to 44 years	27.6%	±0.6	22.1%	±0.8
45 to 54 years	18.8%	±0.4	18.0%	±0.6
55 to 64 years	18.8%	±0.3	20.6%	±0.6
65 to 74 years	13.2%	±0.3	17.2%	±0.5
75 to 84 years	7.4%	±0.3	10.3%	±0.4
85 years and over	3.6%	±0.2	5.3%	±0.4
Median age (years)	51.2	±0.3	56.5	±0.4
RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN				
One race	37.9%	±1.3	36.3%	±1.4
White	16.8%	±0.8	16.0%	±0.9
Black or African American	8.8%	±0.8	9.3%	±0.9
American Indian and Alaska Native	0.2%	±0.1	0.1%	±0.1
Asian	2.1%	±0.1	2.1%	±0.2
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0.0%	±0.1	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	10.0%	±0.7	8.8%	±0.7
Two or more races	62.1%	±1.3	63.7%	±1.4
Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race)	83.3%	±0.8	83.7%	±1.0

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<b>Foreign born; Not a U.S. citizen</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total population	535,466	±16,789
<b>SEX AND AGE</b>		
Male	51.2%	±1.1
Female	48.8%	±1.1
Under 5 years	0.9%	±0.2
5 to 17 years	9.4%	±0.8
18 to 24 years	7.3%	±0.6
25 to 44 years	36.7%	±1.2
45 to 54 years	20.1%	±1.0
55 to 64 years	15.7%	±0.9
65 to 74 years	6.5%	±0.6
75 to 84 years	2.6%	±0.4
85 years and over	0.8%	±0.2
Median age (years)	42.8	±0.6
<b>RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN</b>		
One race	40.7%	±2.2
White	18.1%	±1.7
Black or African American	8.0%	±1.2
American Indian and Alaska Native	0.5%	±0.3
Asian	2.2%	±0.4
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	11.9%	±1.2
Two or more races	59.3%	±2.2
Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race)	82.6%	±1.5



Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Native	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino	12.5%	±0.2	21.2%	±0.6
HOUSEHOLD TYPE				
In married-couple family	53.8%	±1.1	51.3%	±1.6
In other households	44.8%	±1.1	46.7%	±1.6
Average household size	2.73	±0.02	2.56	±0.05
Average family size	3.30	±0.04	3.30	±0.07
MARITAL STATUS				
Population 15 years and over	2,218,781	±93	848,104	±17,898
Never married	35.5%	±0.6	53.9%	±0.9
Now married, except separated	43.4%	±0.8	31.8%	±1.0
Divorced or separated	15.5%	±0.6	10.8%	±0.7
Widowed	5.6%	±0.3	3.5%	±0.4
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT				
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	625,934	±9,476	469,286	±11,250
Nursery school, preschool	6.1%	±0.6	7.6%	±0.8
Elementary school (grades K-8)	43.6%	±0.9	49.2%	±1.0
High school (grades 9-12)	19.9%	±0.7	19.3%	±0.8
College or graduate school	30.4%	±0.8	23.9%	±1.0
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT				
Population 25 years and over	1,911,144	±698	635,132	±15,833
Less than high school graduate	16.7%	±0.5	7.3%	±0.7
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	27.1%	±0.8	23.6%	±1.1
Some college or associate's degree	23.9%	±0.7	29.3%	±1.4
Bachelor's degree	19.9%	±0.7	23.5%	±1.3

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Foreign born		Foreign born; Naturalized citizen	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino	5.1%	±0.4	4.1%	±0.4
<b>HOUSEHOLD TYPE</b>				
In married-couple family	56.1%	±1.2	56.7%	±1.5
In other households	43.1%	±1.2	42.5%	±1.5
Average household size	2.81	±0.03	2.77	±0.04
Average family size	3.30	±0.04	3.28	±0.05
<b>MARITAL STATUS</b>				
Population 15 years and over	1,370,677	±17,891	877,320	±15,801
Never married	24.2%	±0.7	20.0%	±0.9
Now married, except separated	50.5%	±1.0	51.5%	±1.2
Divorced or separated	18.5%	±0.8	19.6%	±1.0
Widowed	6.8%	±0.4	8.9%	±0.5
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>				
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	156,648	±9,004	72,088	±6,348
Nursery school, preschool	1.6%	±0.7	0.7%	±0.6
Elementary school (grades K-8)	26.8%	±2.2	14.7%	±2.9
High school (grades 9-12)	21.7%	±1.8	19.5%	±2.9
College or graduate school	49.9%	±2.5	65.1%	±3.8
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>				
Population 25 years and over	1,276,012	±15,789	834,969	±14,173
Less than high school graduate	21.3%	±0.8	19.3%	±1.0
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	28.9%	±0.9	28.6%	±1.1
Some college or associate's degree	21.1%	±0.9	23.5%	±1.1
Bachelor's degree	18.1%	±0.8	18.1%	±1.0

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<b>Foreign born; Not a U.S. citizen</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino	6.7%	±1.0
<b>HOUSEHOLD TYPE</b>		
In married-couple family	54.9%	±1.9
In other households	44.2%	±1.9
Average household size	2.90	±0.07
Average family size	3.35	±0.09
<b>MARITAL STATUS</b>		
Population 15 years and over	493,357	±14,881
Never married	31.6%	±1.2
Now married, except separated	48.9%	±1.7
Divorced or separated	16.5%	±1.2
Widowed	3.1%	±0.5
<b>SCHOOL ENROLLMENT</b>		
Population 3 years and over enrolled in school	84,560	±6,831
Nursery school, preschool	2.3%	±1.3
Elementary school (grades K-8)	37.2%	±3.4
High school (grades 9-12)	23.5%	±2.9
College or graduate school	37.0%	±3.2
<b>EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT</b>		
Population 25 years and over	441,043	±13,222
Less than high school graduate	25.1%	±1.5
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	29.5%	±1.4
Some college or associate's degree	16.7%	±1.2
Bachelor's degree	18.0%	±1.4

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Native	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Graduate or professional degree	12.5%	±0.5	16.3%	±0.9
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH				
Population 5 years and over	2,517,017	±220	1,096,909	±19,365
English only	25.3%	±0.7	47.6%	±1.3
Language other than English	74.7%	±0.7	52.4%	±1.3
Speak English less than "very well"	33.8%	±0.6	5.8%	±0.5
EMPLOYMENT STATUS				
Population 16 years and over	2,191,172	±2,590	828,314	±18,156
In labor force	63.2%	±0.6	63.6%	±1.0
Civilian labor force	63.0%	±0.7	63.2%	±1.0
Employed	59.2%	±0.7	59.0%	±1.1
Unemployed	3.8%	±0.3	4.2%	±0.5
Percent of civilian labor force	6.1%	±0.5	6.6%	±0.7
Armed Forces	0.2%	±0.1	0.4%	±0.2
Not in labor force	36.8%	±0.6	36.4%	±1.0
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	1,297,349	±15,068	488,974	±13,109
CLASS OF WORKER				
Private wage and salary workers	80.9%	±0.8	80.2%	±1.3
Government workers	9.6%	±0.6	14.9%	±1.1
Self-employed workers in own not incorporated business	9.2%	±0.6	4.7%	±0.6
Unpaid family workers	0.3%	±0.1	0.2%	±0.1

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Foreign born		Foreign born; Naturalized citizen	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Graduate or professional degree	10.6%	±0.6	10.5%	±0.6
<b>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH</b>				
Population 5 years and over	1,420,108	±19,341	889,664	±16,589
English only	8.1%	±0.6	8.7%	±0.7
Language other than English	91.9%	±0.6	91.3%	±0.7
Speak English less than "very well"	55.5%	±1.0	49.6%	±1.0
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>				
Population 16 years and over	1,362,858	±17,697	874,112	±15,751
In labor force	63.0%	±0.8	58.7%	±1.0
Civilian labor force	62.9%	±0.8	58.7%	±1.0
Employed	59.3%	±0.7	55.2%	±1.0
Unemployed	3.6%	±0.3	3.4%	±0.4
Percent of civilian labor force	5.7%	±0.5	5.9%	±0.6
Armed Forces	0.0%	±0.1	0.0%	±0.1
Not in labor force	37.0%	±0.8	41.3%	±1.0
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	808,375	±15,495	482,786	±14,508
<b>CLASS OF WORKER</b>				
Private wage and salary workers	81.4%	±0.9	81.2%	±1.2
Government workers	6.4%	±0.5	8.9%	±0.8
Self-employed workers in own not incorporated business	11.9%	±0.8	9.6%	±0.8
Unpaid family workers	0.3%	±0.1	0.3%	±0.2

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<b>Foreign born; Not a U.S. citizen</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Graduate or professional degree	10.6%	±1.1
<b>LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH</b>		
Population 5 years and over	530,444	±16,523
English only	7.1%	±0.8
Language other than English	92.9%	±0.8
Speak English less than "very well"	65.3%	±1.6
<b>EMPLOYMENT STATUS</b>		
Population 16 years and over	488,746	±14,629
In labor force	70.6%	±1.5
Civilian labor force	70.5%	±1.5
Employed	66.6%	±1.4
Unemployed	3.9%	±0.6
Percent of civilian labor force	5.5%	±0.8
Armed Forces	0.1%	±0.1
Not in labor force	29.4%	±1.5
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	325,589	±12,485
<b>CLASS OF WORKER</b>		
Private wage and salary workers	81.6%	±1.3
Government workers	2.9%	±0.5
Self-employed workers in own not incorporated business	15.3%	±1.2
Unpaid family workers	0.3%	±0.2

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Native	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
<b>OCCUPATION</b>				
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	37.0%	±0.9	43.2%	±1.6
Service occupations	18.5%	±0.6	15.4%	±1.1
Sales and office occupations	22.3%	±0.7	26.0%	±1.4
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	10.0%	±0.5	5.7%	±0.6
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	12.3%	±0.5	9.6%	±0.9
<b>INDUSTRY</b>				
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	0.7%	±0.2	0.3%	±0.2
Construction	8.8%	±0.6	5.0%	±0.7
Manufacturing	4.8%	±0.4	3.1%	±0.4
Wholesale trade	3.0%	±0.3	2.8%	±0.5
Retail trade	11.7%	±0.6	13.0%	±1.3
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	8.4%	±0.5	7.6%	±0.8
Information	1.9%	±0.2	2.3%	±0.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	8.3%	±0.4	9.3%	±0.7
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	14.3%	±0.6	15.1%	±1.1

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Foreign born		Foreign born; Naturalized citizen	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
<b>OCCUPATION</b>				
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	33.2%	±1.1	38.9%	±1.2
Service occupations	20.4%	±0.9	16.6%	±0.9
Sales and office occupations	20.0%	±0.9	22.8%	±1.3
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	12.5%	±0.7	10.4%	±0.8
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	13.9%	±0.7	11.3%	±0.8
<b>INDUSTRY</b>				
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	1.0%	±0.2	0.5%	±0.2
Construction	11.2%	±0.8	9.0%	±0.8
Manufacturing	5.8%	±0.6	5.4%	±0.6
Wholesale trade	3.1%	±0.4	3.2%	±0.5
Retail trade	10.9%	±0.8	11.0%	±0.9
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	8.9%	±0.7	8.9%	±0.9
Information	1.6%	±0.3	1.7%	±0.3
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	7.8%	±0.6	9.0%	±0.7
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	13.8%	±0.7	13.5%	±1.0



Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<b>Foreign born; Not a U.S. citizen</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
<b>OCCUPATION</b>		
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	24.9%	±1.9
Service occupations	25.9%	±1.7
Sales and office occupations	15.8%	±1.4
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	15.7%	±1.5
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	17.8%	±1.4
<b>INDUSTRY</b>		
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	1.7%	±0.5
Construction	14.4%	±1.5
Manufacturing	6.5%	±0.9
Wholesale trade	2.9%	±0.5
Retail trade	10.9%	±1.5
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	9.0%	±1.0
Information	1.5%	±0.4
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	5.9%	±1.0
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	14.1%	±1.5

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Native	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	19.8%	±0.7	22.3%	±1.2
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	9.3%	±0.5	10.2%	±0.8
Other services (except public administration)	5.5%	±0.4	3.6%	±0.4
Public administration	3.5%	±0.3	5.4%	±0.8
<b>EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2021 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS) FOR FULL-TIME, YEAR-ROUND WORKERS</b>				
Population 16 years and over with earnings	966,649	±14,190	364,960	±11,831
\$1 to \$9,999 or loss	2.1%	±0.3	2.0%	±0.6
\$10,000 to \$14,999	3.5%	±0.4	2.4%	±0.5
\$15,000 to \$24,999	14.0%	±0.7	9.3%	±0.9
\$25,000 to \$34,999	18.3%	±0.9	16.9%	±1.4
\$35,000 to \$49,999	19.4%	±0.8	18.2%	±1.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	19.6%	±0.8	22.6%	±1.4
\$75,000 or more	22.9%	±0.8	28.7%	±1.6
Median earnings (dollars) for full-time, year-round workers:				
Male	45,938	±1,038	52,110	±2,073
Female	39,952	±1,103	47,201	±1,615

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Foreign born		Foreign born; Naturalized citizen	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	18.3%	±0.8	22.4%	±1.0
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	8.8%	±0.7	6.5%	±0.7
Other services (except public administration)	6.5%	±0.6	5.8%	±0.7
Public administration	2.3%	±0.3	3.1%	±0.5
<b>EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2021 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS) FOR FULL-TIME, YEAR-ROUND WORKERS</b>				
Population 16 years and over with earnings	601,689	±13,421	369,513	±12,335
\$1 to \$9,999 or loss	2.1%	±0.4	1.9%	±0.5
\$10,000 to \$14,999	4.2%	±0.5	2.9%	±0.6
\$15,000 to \$24,999	16.9%	±0.9	13.3%	±1.0
\$25,000 to \$34,999	19.2%	±1.1	17.4%	±1.2
\$35,000 to \$49,999	20.2%	±1.1	21.3%	±1.7
\$50,000 to \$74,999	17.8%	±1.0	20.2%	±1.4
\$75,000 or more	19.5%	±0.9	23.1%	±1.2
Median earnings (dollars) for full-time, year-round workers:				
Male	41,858	±633	49,165	±3,204
Female	34,839	±1,372	39,082	±1,919

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<b>Foreign born; Not a U.S. citizen</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	12.2%	±1.2
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	12.1%	±1.3
Other services (except public administration)	7.6%	±1.0
Public administration	1.1%	±0.3
<b>EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2021 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS) FOR FULL-TIME, YEAR-ROUND WORKERS</b>		
Population 16 years and over with earnings	232,176	±10,677
\$1 to \$9,999 or loss	2.6%	±0.8
\$10,000 to \$14,999	6.3%	±1.0
\$15,000 to \$24,999	22.7%	±2.1
\$25,000 to \$34,999	22.0%	±2.1
\$35,000 to \$49,999	18.6%	±1.9
\$50,000 to \$74,999	14.1%	±1.6
\$75,000 or more	13.7%	±1.6
Median earnings (dollars) for full-time, year-round workers:		
Male	36,155	±972
Female	26,689	±1,069

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Native	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2021 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)				
Households	963,477	±6,902	329,822	±8,948
With earnings	80.6%	±0.7	82.3%	±1.1
Mean earnings (dollars)	91,760	±2,103	106,932	±4,491
With Social Security income	30.2%	±0.6	25.5%	±1.2
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	16,792	±354	19,460	±719
With Supplemental Security Income	7.2%	±0.4	5.4%	±0.7
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	8,465	±394	9,681	±935
With cash public assistance income	5.2%	±0.4	4.0%	±0.7
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	3,484	±366	5,052	±1,005
With retirement income	12.4%	±0.5	15.8%	±1.3
Mean retirement income (dollars)	27,780	±2,264	32,651	±3,024
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits	25.2%	±0.9	17.9%	±1.2
Median Household income (dollars)	59,044	±1,113	70,420	±2,836
Average number of workers per household	1.32	±0.02	1.24	±0.03
POVERTY STATUS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS				

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Foreign born		Foreign born; Naturalized citizen	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2021 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)				
Households	633,655	±9,833	444,366	±9,272
With earnings	79.7%	±0.9	75.1%	±1.1
Mean earnings (dollars)	83,613	±2,417	87,721	±2,830
With Social Security income	32.6%	±0.7	41.4%	±1.0
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	15,705	±390	16,007	±456
With Supplemental Security Income	8.2%	±0.6	10.0%	±0.7
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	8,044	±401	8,053	±429
With cash public assistance income	5.8%	±0.6	6.3%	±0.6
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	2,925	±339	2,873	±406
With retirement income	10.6%	±0.6	13.7%	±0.8
Mean retirement income (dollars)	23,986	±3,298	24,545	±3,571
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits	29.0%	±1.1	30.2%	±1.3
Median Household income (dollars)	53,897	±1,708	56,986	±1,932
Average number of workers per household	1.36	±0.02	1.29	±0.03
POVERTY STATUS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS				

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<b>Foreign born; Not a U.S. citizen</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
<b>INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS (IN 2021 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)</b>		
Households	189,289	±6,734
With earnings	90.7%	±1.5
Mean earnings (dollars)	75,632	±5,756
With Social Security income	11.9%	±1.5
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	13,247	±1,128
With Supplemental Security Income	3.8%	±0.8
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	7,991	±1,065
With cash public assistance income	4.7%	±1.0
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	3,089	±526
With retirement income	3.3%	±0.7
Mean retirement income (dollars)	18,583	±4,308
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits	26.1%	±2.1
Median Household income (dollars)	50,273	±2,034
Average number of workers per household	1.54	±0.04
<b>POVERTY STATUS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS</b>		

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Native	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Population for whom poverty status is determined	2,631,143	±3,600	1,211,803	±19,980
Below 100 percent of the poverty level	14.9%	±0.7	13.8%	±1.0
100 to 199 percent of the poverty level	21.7%	±0.9	20.5%	±1.1
At or above 200 percent of the poverty level	63.3%	±0.9	65.7%	±1.4
POVERTY RATES FOR FAMILIES FOR WHOM POVERTY STATUS IS DETERMINED				
All families	11.7%	±0.7	8.3%	±1.2
With related children of the householder under 18 years	15.2%	±1.2	11.7%	±2.0
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	20.6%	±3.7	12.9%	±4.8
Married-couple family	8.6%	±0.8	4.4%	±1.1
With related children of the householder under 18 years	9.1%	±1.4	6.0%	±1.9
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	12.8%	±4.6	8.5%	±5.0
Female householder, no spouse present, family	20.2%	±1.7	17.7%	±3.0
With related children of the householder under 18 years	27.9%	±3.0	22.1%	±4.1



Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Foreign born		Foreign born; Naturalized citizen	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Population for whom poverty status is determined	1,419,340	±19,933	887,676	±16,668
Below 100 percent of the poverty level	15.9%	±0.8	14.7%	±0.9
100 to 199 percent of the poverty level	22.8%	±1.1	20.9%	±1.2
At or above 200 percent of the poverty level	61.3%	±1.3	64.4%	±1.2
POVERTY RATES FOR FAMILIES FOR WHOM POVERTY STATUS IS DETERMINED				
All families	13.2%	±0.8	11.1%	±1.0
With related children of the householder under 18 years	16.9%	±1.6	12.5%	±1.8
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	24.6%	±5.4	16.9%	±6.3
Married-couple family	10.3%	±1.0	9.3%	±1.1
With related children of the householder under 18 years	10.5%	±1.7	8.5%	±1.8
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	15.1%	±6.0	10.4%	±6.6
Female householder, no spouse present, family	21.5%	±2.1	15.9%	±2.6
With related children of the householder under 18 years	31.5%	±4.2	21.4%	±4.8

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<b>Foreign born; Not a U.S. citizen</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Population for whom poverty status is determined	531,664	±16,862
Below 100 percent of the poverty level	17.9%	±1.5
100 to 199 percent of the poverty level	25.9%	±1.7
At or above 200 percent of the poverty level	56.2%	±2.0
<b>POVERTY RATES FOR FAMILIES FOR WHOM POVERTY STATUS IS DETERMINED</b>		
All families	18.1%	±1.8
With related children of the householder under 18 years	24.1%	±3.1
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	34.9%	±8.4
Married-couple family	12.8%	±2.1
With related children of the householder under 18 years	13.8%	±3.0
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	21.9%	±9.7
Female householder, no spouse present, family	35.5%	±4.8
With related children of the householder under 18 years	48.8%	±6.4

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Native	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	37.9%	±8.2	19.6%	±11.0
Occupied housing units	963,477	±6,902	329,822	±8,948
HOUSING TENURE				
Owner-occupied housing units	52.5%	±0.9	56.8%	±1.6
Renter-occupied housing units	47.5%	±0.9	43.2%	±1.6
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	2.92	±0.04	2.75	±0.08
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	2.51	±0.04	2.32	±0.09
ROOMS				
1 room	4.9%	±0.5	4.8%	±0.8
2 or 3 rooms	20.7%	±0.8	19.9%	±1.3
4 or 5 rooms	40.9%	±0.9	36.6%	±1.7
6 or 7 rooms	24.0%	±0.8	25.5%	±1.4
8 or more rooms	9.5%	±0.5	13.1%	±1.1
Median number of rooms	4.6	±0.1	4.8	±0.1
1.01 or more occupants per room	6.9%	±0.5	4.7%	±0.8
VEHICLES AVAILABLE				
None	9.8%	±0.7	9.3%	±1.1
1 or more	90.2%	±0.7	90.7%	±1.1
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS				
No telephone service available	1.2%	±0.2	1.0%	±0.3
Limited English Speaking Households	23.2%	±0.8	3.0%	±0.7
Owner-occupied housing units	505,982	±9,305	187,226	±6,618

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Foreign born		Foreign born; Naturalized citizen	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	47.2%	±11.3	30.7%	±14.3
Occupied housing units	633,655	±9,833	444,366	±9,272
HOUSING TENURE				
Owner-occupied housing units	50.3%	±1.1	60.8%	±1.4
Renter-occupied housing units	49.7%	±1.1	39.2%	±1.4
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.02	±0.05	2.96	±0.06
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	2.60	±0.05	2.47	±0.07
ROOMS				
1 room	4.9%	±0.6	4.2%	±0.5
2 or 3 rooms	21.1%	±1.0	18.5%	±1.2
4 or 5 rooms	43.0%	±1.1	41.5%	±1.5
6 or 7 rooms	23.2%	±0.9	26.5%	±1.1
8 or more rooms	7.7%	±0.6	9.2%	±0.7
Median number of rooms	4.5	±0.1	4.8	±0.2
1.01 or more occupants per room	8.0%	±0.8	6.5%	±0.8
VEHICLES AVAILABLE				
None	10.1%	±0.8	9.8%	±0.9
1 or more	89.9%	±0.8	90.2%	±0.9
SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS				
No telephone service available	1.4%	±0.3	1.5%	±0.4
Limited English Speaking Households	33.8%	±1.1	30.3%	±1.2
Owner-occupied housing units	318,756	±7,977	270,246	±7,446

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	<b>Foreign born; Not a U.S. citizen</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
With related children of the householder under 5 years only	69.0%	±16.9
Occupied housing units	189,289	±6,734
<b>HOUSING TENURE</b>		
Owner-occupied housing units	25.6%	±1.7
Renter-occupied housing units	74.4%	±1.7
Average household size of owner-occupied unit	3.35	±0.16
Average household size of renter-occupied unit	2.75	±0.08
<b>ROOMS</b>		
1 room	6.6%	±1.1
2 or 3 rooms	27.1%	±1.9
4 or 5 rooms	46.6%	±2.1
6 or 7 rooms	15.5%	±1.6
8 or more rooms	4.3%	±0.9
Median number of rooms	4.1	±0.1
1.01 or more occupants per room	11.6%	±1.7
<b>VEHICLES AVAILABLE</b>		
None	10.8%	±1.6
1 or more	89.2%	±1.6
<b>SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS</b>		
No telephone service available	1.0%	±0.5
Limited English Speaking Households	41.8%	±2.2
Owner-occupied housing units	48,510	±3,736

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Native	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS				
Less than 30 percent	63.6%	±1.1	68.7%	±2.3
30 percent or more	36.4%	±1.1	31.3%	±2.3
Renter-occupied housing units	457,495	±9,182	142,596	±7,209
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS				
Less than 30 percent	39.5%	±1.3	42.4%	±2.6
30 percent or more	60.5%	±1.3	57.6%	±2.6

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

	Foreign born		Foreign born; Naturalized citizen	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS				
Less than 30 percent	60.6%	±1.3	61.0%	±1.6
30 percent or more	39.4%	±1.3	39.0%	±1.6
Renter-occupied housing units	314,899	±8,680	174,120	±7,705
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS				
Less than 30 percent	38.3%	±1.6	40.4%	±2.0
30 percent or more	61.7%	±1.6	59.6%	±2.0

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S0501

		<b>Foreign born; Not a U.S. citizen</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	
SELECTED MONTHLY OWNER COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS			
Less than 30 percent	58.0%	±4.5	
30 percent or more	42.0%	±4.5	
Renter-occupied housing units	140,779	±5,653	
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS			
Less than 30 percent	35.6%	±2.9	
30 percent or more	64.4%	±2.9	



<b>POVERTY STATUS OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT</b>		<b>United States<sup>®</sup> Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	B17021	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2021	
DATASET:	ACSDT5Y2021	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables	
UNIVERSE:	Population for whom poverty status is determined	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5">https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
TOPICS	Poverty	
GEOS	Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 3; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	
<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>		
	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?t=Poverty&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173.120860039181.120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B17021">https://data.census.gov/table?t=Poverty&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173.120860039181.120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B17021</a>	

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.
	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
	Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented
	The categories for relationship to householder were revised in 2019. For more information see Revisions to the Relationship to Household item.
	The 2017-2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.
	Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

	<p>Explanation of Symbols:- The estimate could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations. For a ratio of medians estimate, one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution. For a 5-year median estimate, the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.N The estimate or margin of error cannot be displayed because there were an insufficient number of sample cases in the selected geographic area. (X) The estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.median- The median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "2,500-")median+ The median falls in the highest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "250,000+").** The margin of error could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations.*** The margin of error could not be computed because the median falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution.***** A margin of error is not appropriate because the corresponding estimate is controlled to an independent population or housing estimate. Effectively, the corresponding estimate has no sampling error and the margin of error may be treated as zero</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	1,177	±520	1,038	±545
Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:				
In family households:	98	±117	174	±105
In married couple families:	73	±118	0	±14
All relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
In other families:	0	±14	0	±14
Male householder, no spouse present:	73	±118	0	±14
All relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Female householder, no spouse present:	0	±14	0	±14
All relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	25	±30	174	±105
Householder:	25	±30	150	±101
Living alone	25	±30	150	±101
Not living alone	0	±14	0	±14
Other living arrangement	0	±14	24	±30
Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:				
In family households:	1,079	±508	864	±552
In married couple families:	680	±488	697	±545
All relatives	352	±199	224	±261
Non-relatives	335	±190	224	±261
In other families:	17	±29	0	±14
All relatives	328	±453	473	±501
Non-relatives				

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	1,366	±542	983	±547
Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:				
In family households:	310	±207	56	±66
In married couple families:	242	±189	0	±14
All relatives	181	±156	0	±14
Non-relatives	126	±127	0	±14
In other families:	55	±87	0	±14
Male householder, no spouse present:	61	±101	0	±14
All relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Female householder, no spouse present:	61	±101	0	±14
All relatives	61	±101	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	68	±84	56	±66
Householder:	68	±84	56	±66
Living alone	68	±84	56	±66
Not living alone	0	±14	0	±14
Other living arrangement	0	±14	0	±14
Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:				
In family households:	1,056	±467	927	±536
In married couple families:	854	±456	690	±521
All relatives	564	±377	690	±521
Non-relatives	498	±315	690	±521
In other families:	66	±103	0	±14
In other families:	290	±249	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	2,861	±694	880	±291
Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:	135	±144	20	±20
In family households:	44	±100	0	±14
In married couple families:	44	±100	0	±14
All relatives	44	±100	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
In other families:	0	±14	0	±14
Male householder, no spouse present:	0	±14	0	±14
All relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Female householder, no spouse present:	0	±14	0	±14
All relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	91	±91	20	±20
Householder:	64	±81	20	±20
Living alone	38	±46	20	±20
Not living alone	26	±56	0	±14
Other living arrangement	27	±45	0	±14
Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:	2,726	±668	860	±292
In family households:	2,419	±686	762	±295
In married couple families:	1,923	±662	698	±276
All relatives	1,923	±662	698	±276
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
In other families:	496	±371	64	±99

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

	<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	1,825	±391
Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:		
In family households:	122	±92
In married couple families:	60	±68
All relatives	27	±42
Non-relatives	0	±14
In other families:	33	±53
Male householder, no spouse present:	0	±14
All relatives	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14
Female householder, no spouse present:	33	±53
All relatives	33	±53
Non-relatives	0	±14
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	62	±58
Householder:	62	±58
Living alone	35	±38
Not living alone	27	±44
Other living arrangement	0	±14
Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:		
In family households:	1,181	±392
In married couple families:	500	±188
All relatives	500	±188
Non-relatives	0	±14
In other families:	681	±353

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Male householder, no spouse present:	0	±14	0	±14
All relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Female householder, no spouse present:	328	±453	473	±501
All relatives	328	±453	412	±464
Non-relatives	0	±14	61	±102
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	399	±207	167	±132
Householder:	307	±141	167	±132
Living alone	213	±109	149	±127
Not living alone	94	±89	18	±31
Other living arrangement	92	±86	0	±14



Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Male householder, no spouse present:	290	±249	0	±14
All relatives	259	±232	0	±14
Non-relatives	31	±35	0	±14
Female householder, no spouse present:	0	±14	0	±14
All relatives	0	±14	0	±14
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	202	±124	237	±134
Householder:	156	±91	188	±97
Living alone	112	±81	148	±93
Not living alone	44	±52	40	±53
Other living arrangement	46	±53	49	±63

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Male householder, no spouse present:	400	±345	0	±14
All relatives	358	±311	0	±14
Non-relatives	42	±52	0	±14
Female householder, no spouse present:	96	±136	64	±99
All relatives	96	±136	64	±99
Non-relatives	0	±14	0	±14
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	307	±202	98	±60
Householder:	232	±141	98	±60
Living alone	151	±113	98	±60
Not living alone	81	±88	0	±14
Other living arrangement	75	±91	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B17021

<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Male householder, no spouse present:	62	±68
All relatives	62	±68
Non-relatives	0	±14
Female householder, no spouse present:	619	±372
All relatives	539	±302
Non-relatives	80	±90
In non-family households and other living arrangement:	522	±156
Householder:	378	±97
Living alone	266	±94
Not living alone	112	±68
Other living arrangement	144	±92

<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO, AND NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO BY RACE</b>		<b>United States<sup>®</sup> Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	P2	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	Decennial Census	
VINTAGE:	2020	
DATASET:	DECENNIALPL2020	
PRODUCT:	DEC Redistricting Data (PL 94-171)	
UNIVERSE:	Total population	
FTP URL:	<a href="https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/2020/data/">https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/2020/data/</a>	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2020/dec/pl">https://api.census.gov/data/2020/dec/pl</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
TOPICS	Race and Ethnicity	
GEOS	Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 3; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?t=Race+and+Ethnicity&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=DECENNIALPL2020.P2">https://data.census.gov/table?t=Race+and+Ethnicity&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=DECENNIALPL2020.P2</a>
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	Note: For information on data collection, confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File Technical Documentation.
	For information on the statistical methods used to protect confidentiality in these tables, see Disclosure Avoidance and the 2020 Census.
	Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171)
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
Total:	1,313	771	1,972	677
Hispanic or Latino	623	519	1,237	384
Not Hispanic or Latino:	690	252	735	293
Population of one race:	640	233	670	249
White alone	350	142	479	206
Black or African American alone	215	75	122	32
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	3	0	0	0
Asian alone	63	10	35	10
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0	0	0
Some Other Race alone	9	6	34	1
Population of two or more races:	50	19	65	44
Population of two races:	47	17	60	44
White; Black or African American	12	3	19	4
White; American Indian and Alaska Native	3	5	0	0
White; Asian	5	1	1	4
White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	2
White; Some Other Race	22	4	39	28
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	2	0	0	5
Black or African American; Asian	0	1	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

<b>Label</b>	<b>Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>
Total:	2,299	1,210	2,001
Hispanic or Latino	1,442	665	1,066
Not Hispanic or Latino:	857	545	935
Population of one race:	766	507	877
White alone	578	395	721
Black or African American alone	100	43	91
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	3	0	0
Asian alone	71	50	43
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	0	0	0
Some Other Race alone	14	19	22
Population of two or more races:	91	38	58
Population of two races:	83	32	57
White; Black or African American	11	2	8
White; American Indian and Alaska Native	8	0	0
White; Asian	8	8	6
White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	2	1	0
White; Some Other Race	46	18	38
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	1	1	0
Black or African American; Asian	2	1	2

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; Some Other Race	3	3	0	1
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	1	0
Population of three races:	3	2	5	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	1	2	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian	1	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Some Other Race	1	0	5	0



Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
Black or African American; Some Other Race	5	0	2
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	1
Asian; Some Other Race	0	1	0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Population of three races:	3	5	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	1	2	0
White; Black or African American; Asian	2	1	0
White; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Some Other Race	0	2	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

<b>Label</b>	<b>Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	0	0
White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
White; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0
White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
Black or African American; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Population of four races:	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
Black or African American; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Population of four races:	4	1	1
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race	2	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Some Other Race	2	0	0
White; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	1
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	1	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Population of five races:	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0



Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Population of five races:	1	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	1	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0
Population of six races:	0	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0	0

Table: DECENNIALPL2020.P2

Label	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some Other Race	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0
Population of six races:	0	0	0
White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race	0	0	0

<b>AGE BY LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME BY ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH FOR THE POPULATION 5 YEARS AND OVER</b>		<b>United States® Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	B16004	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2021	
DATASET:	ACSDT5Y2021	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables	
UNIVERSE:	Population 5 years and over	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5">https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
TOPICS	Language Spoken at Home	
GEOS	Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 3; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?t=Language+Spoken+at+Home&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B16004">https://data.census.gov/table?t=Language+Spoken+at+Home&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B16004</a>
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	<p>Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.</p>
	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
	<p>Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented</p>
	<p>The 2017-2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.</p>
	<p>Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.</p>

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	<p>Explanation of Symbols:- The estimate could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations. For a ratio of medians estimate, one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution. For a 5-year median estimate, the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.N The estimate or margin of error cannot be displayed because there were an insufficient number of sample cases in the selected geographic area. (X) The estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.median- The median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "2,500-")median+ The median falls in the highest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "250,000+").** The margin of error could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations.*** The margin of error could not be computed because the median falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution.***** A margin of error is not appropriate because the corresponding estimate is controlled to an independent population or housing estimate. Effectively, the corresponding estimate has no sampling error and the margin of error may be treated as zero</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	1,152	±518	801	±351
5 to 17 years:	177	±245	85	±133
Speak only English	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Spanish:	177	±245	85	±133
Speak English "very well"	177	±245	85	±133
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
18 to 64 years:	897	±335	684	±295
Speak only English	362	±161	104	±89
Speak Spanish:	502	±304	580	±295
Speak English "very well"	448	±295	492	±294
Speak English "well"	54	±54	52	±63

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	1,355	±539	983	±547
5 to 17 years:	123	±129	151	±176
Speak only English	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Spanish:	123	±129	151	±176
Speak English "very well"	123	±129	151	±176
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
18 to 64 years:	1,034	±482	697	±341
Speak only English	167	±110	99	±119
Speak Spanish:	694	±416	446	±289
Speak English "very well"	385	±231	354	±269
Speak English "well"	164	±116	19	±24



Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	<b>Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	2,825	±710	755	±237
5 to 17 years:	409	±169	61	±56
Speak only English	0	±14	19	±29
Speak Spanish:	351	±150	10	±14
Speak English "very well"	337	±148	2	±7
Speak English "well"	14	±21	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	8	±13
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	58	±82	32	±51
Speak English "very well"	58	±82	32	±51
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
18 to 64 years:	2,122	±661	640	±203
Speak only English	289	±175	237	±138
Speak Spanish:	1,142	±373	331	±160
Speak English "very well"	561	±259	175	±82
Speak English "well"	174	±141	122	±92

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	1,733	±392
5 to 17 years:	146	±107
Speak only English	69	±56
Speak Spanish:	77	±64
Speak English "very well"	77	±64
Speak English "well"	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
Speak other languages:	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
18 to 64 years:	1,520	±352
Speak only English	375	±126
Speak Spanish:	920	±338
Speak English "very well"	669	±275
Speak English "well"	200	±99

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	36	±60
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	15	±22	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	15	±22	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:	18	±31	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	18	±31	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
65 years and over:	78	±70	32	±38
Speak only English	31	±36	0	±14
Speak Spanish:	47	±47	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	47	±47	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	0	±14	32	±38
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	32	±38
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Speak English "not well"	145	±126	73	±118
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	159	±206	152	±135
Speak English "very well"	18	±29	142	±131
Speak English "well"	63	±77	10	±15
Speak English "not well"	78	±127	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:	14	±28	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	14	±28	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other languages:	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
65 years and over:	198	±122	135	±154
Speak only English	30	±49	39	±50
Speak Spanish:	168	±123	6	±13
Speak English "very well"	23	±39	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	6	±13
Speak English "not well"	52	±76	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	93	±115	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	0	±14	90	±143
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	90	±143

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Speak English "not well"	407	±350	26	±39
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	8	±15
Speak other Indo-European languages:	122	±116	62	±49
Speak English "very well"	77	±103	48	±45
Speak English "well"	45	±54	14	±22
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:	84	±110	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	84	±110	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other languages:	485	±687	10	±14
Speak English "very well"	346	±491	10	±14
Speak English "well"	139	±198	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
65 years and over:	294	±141	54	±35
Speak only English	50	±44	8	±13
Speak Spanish:	238	±133	39	±31
Speak English "very well"	47	±55	17	±18
Speak English "well"	73	±88	22	±19
Speak English "not well"	7	±11	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	111	±70	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	6	±12	7	±11
Speak English "very well"	6	±12	7	±11
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Speak English "not well"	33	±42
Speak English "not at all"	18	±27
Speak other Indo-European languages:	216	±105
Speak English "very well"	193	±103
Speak English "well"	14	±16
Speak English "not well"	9	±15
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:	9	±16
Speak English "very well"	0	±14
Speak English "well"	9	±16
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
Speak other languages:	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
65 years and over:	67	±56
Speak only English	60	±54
Speak Spanish:	7	±12
Speak English "very well"	0	±14
Speak English "well"	7	±12
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
Speak other Indo-European languages:	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:				
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other languages:				
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:				
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other languages:				
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14



Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

	<b>Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:				
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak other languages:				
Speak English "very well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B16004

<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages:	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14
Speak other languages:	0	±14
Speak English "very well"	0	±14
Speak English "well"	0	±14
Speak English "not well"	0	±14
Speak English "not at all"	0	±14

<b>MEDIAN AGE BY SEX</b>		<b>United States<sup>®</sup> Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	B01002	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2021	
DATASET:	ACSDT5Y2021	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables	
UNIVERSE:	Total population	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5">https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
GEOS	Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 3; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida	
TOPICS	Age and Sex	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	
<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>		
	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?t=Age+and+Sex&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173.120860039181.120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B01002">https://data.census.gov/table?t=Age+and+Sex&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173.120860039181.120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B01002</a>	

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01002

<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.
	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
	Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented
	The 2017-2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.
	Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.
	<p>Explanation of Symbols:- The estimate could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations. For a ratio of medians estimate, one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution. For a 5-year median estimate, the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.N The estimate or margin of error cannot be displayed because there were an insufficient number of sample cases in the selected geographic area. (X) The estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.</p> <p>median- The median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "2,500-")median+ The median falls in the highest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "250,000+").** The margin of error could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations.*** The margin of error could not be computed because the median falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution.***** A margin of error is not appropriate because the corresponding estimate is controlled to an independent population or housing estimate. Effectively, the corresponding estimate has no sampling error and the margin of error may be treated as zero.</p>

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01002

<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01002

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Median age --				
Total:	35.5	±11.5	29.6	±16.9
Male	46.8	±8.0	27.3	±40.9
Female	35.2	±6.1	40.2	±18.6

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01002

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Median age --				
Total:	38.9	±7.6	53.9	±17.5
Male	40.2	±12.9	54.3	±12.7
Female	38.6	±11.9	48.2	±42.1

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01002

	<b>Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Median age --				
Total:	44.2	±8.5	38.8	±12.1
Male	40.3	±14.2	33.2	±12.1
Female	45.1	±4.1	46.9	±13.3



Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01002

<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Median age --		
Total:	34.3	±5.0
Male	47.6	±12.2
Female	30.7	±4.1

<b>SEX BY AGE</b>		<b>United States<sup>®</sup> Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	B01001	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2021	
DATASET:	ACSDT5Y2021	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables	
UNIVERSE:	Total population	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5">https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
GEOS	Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 3; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida	
TOPICS	Age and Sex	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	
<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>		
	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?t=Age+and+Sex&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173.120860039181.120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B01001">https://data.census.gov/table?t=Age+and+Sex&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173.120860039181.120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B01001</a>	

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.
	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
	Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented
	The 2017-2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.
	Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.
	<p>Explanation of Symbols:- The estimate could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations. For a ratio of medians estimate, one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution. For a 5-year median estimate, the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.N The estimate or margin of error cannot be displayed because there were an insufficient number of sample cases in the selected geographic area. (X) The estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.</p> <p>median- The median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "2,500-")median+ The median falls in the highest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "250,000+").** The margin of error could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations.*** The margin of error could not be computed because the median falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution.***** A margin of error is not appropriate because the corresponding estimate is controlled to an independent population or housing estimate. Effectively, the corresponding estimate has no sampling error and the margin of error may be treated as zero.</p>

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

	Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	1,177	±520	1,038	±545
Male:	453	±163	585	±329
Under 5 years	0	±14	237	±272
5 to 9 years	0	±14	0	±14
10 to 14 years	0	±14	42	±66
15 to 17 years	0	±14	0	±14
18 and 19 years	41	±64	0	±14
20 years	0	±14	0	±14
21 years	0	±14	0	±14
22 to 24 years	0	±14	2	±6
25 to 29 years	49	±60	76	±81
30 to 34 years	83	±73	17	±27
35 to 39 years	16	±26	43	±71
40 to 44 years	0	±14	0	±14
45 to 49 years	104	±107	79	±103
50 to 54 years	44	±44	0	±14
55 to 59 years	74	±78	16	±26
60 and 61 years	16	±26	20	±31
62 to 64 years	15	±24	53	±61
65 and 66 years	0	±14	0	±14
67 to 69 years	0	±14	0	±14
70 to 74 years	0	±14	0	±14
75 to 79 years	0	±14	0	±14
80 to 84 years	0	±14	0	±14
85 years and over	11	±18	0	±14
Female:	724	±474	453	±248
Under 5 years	25	±39	0	±14
5 to 9 years	177	±245	43	±67
10 to 14 years	0	±14	0	±14
15 to 17 years	0	±14	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

	Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	1,366	±542	983	±547
Male:	749	±334	527	±262
Under 5 years	0	±14	0	±14
5 to 9 years	21	±24	0	±14
10 to 14 years	17	±28	75	±87
15 to 17 years	0	±14	0	±14
18 and 19 years	0	±14	0	±14
20 years	0	±14	0	±14
21 years	11	±18	0	±14
22 to 24 years	59	±81	0	±14
25 to 29 years	45	±45	33	±55
30 to 34 years	135	±136	65	±79
35 to 39 years	79	±96	22	±24
40 to 44 years	59	±57	0	±14
45 to 49 years	78	±127	16	±25
50 to 54 years	30	±33	72	±76
55 to 59 years	104	±88	45	±53
60 and 61 years	0	±14	75	±88
62 to 64 years	0	±14	21	±36
65 and 66 years	0	±14	13	±20
67 to 69 years	30	±49	90	±143
70 to 74 years	48	±59	0	±14
75 to 79 years	33	±48	0	±14
80 to 84 years	0	±14	0	±14
85 years and over	0	±14	0	±14
Female:	617	±274	456	±303
Under 5 years	11	±19	0	±14
5 to 9 years	0	±14	0	±14
10 to 14 years	85	±127	76	±89
15 to 17 years	0	±14	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

	Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida		Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	3,003	±700	880	±291
Male:	1,732	±474	454	±196
Under 5 years	156	±127	99	±111
5 to 9 years	150	±147	0	±14
10 to 14 years	61	±65	16	±25
15 to 17 years	153	±148	16	±25
18 and 19 years	0	±14	3	±6
20 years	0	±14	27	±42
21 years	0	±14	0	±14
22 to 24 years	0	±14	0	±14
25 to 29 years	72	±85	53	±54
30 to 34 years	88	±77	41	±36
35 to 39 years	177	±124	26	±39
40 to 44 years	45	±55	6	±10
45 to 49 years	109	±130	41	±43
50 to 54 years	209	±146	32	±40
55 to 59 years	393	±489	65	±44
60 and 61 years	0	±14	0	±14
62 to 64 years	17	±22	9	±15
65 and 66 years	0	±14	0	±14
67 to 69 years	15	±32	12	±15
70 to 74 years	40	±50	0	±14
75 to 79 years	11	±12	0	±14
80 to 84 years	24	±39	8	±13
85 years and over	12	±25	0	±14
Female:	1,271	±345	426	±155
Under 5 years	22	±36	26	±41
5 to 9 years	12	±25	0	±14
10 to 14 years	0	±14	0	±14
15 to 17 years	33	±53	29	±32

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	1,825	±391
Male:	783	±179
Under 5 years	28	±33
5 to 9 years	5	±11
10 to 14 years	28	±32
15 to 17 years	23	±37
18 and 19 years	0	±14
20 years	0	±14
21 years	0	±14
22 to 24 years	39	±43
25 to 29 years	131	±70
30 to 34 years	58	±44
35 to 39 years	32	±29
40 to 44 years	27	±25
45 to 49 years	62	±61
50 to 54 years	130	±89
55 to 59 years	62	±53
60 and 61 years	43	±48
62 to 64 years	61	±39
65 and 66 years	31	±49
67 to 69 years	16	±19
70 to 74 years	7	±12
75 to 79 years	0	±14
80 to 84 years	0	±14
85 years and over	0	±14
Female:	1,042	±278
Under 5 years	64	±48
5 to 9 years	0	±14
10 to 14 years	90	±95
15 to 17 years	0	±14



Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
18 and 19 years	0	±14	0	±14
20 years	0	±14	0	±14
21 years	0	±14	0	±14
22 to 24 years	0	±14	0	±14
25 to 29 years	62	±63	133	±185
30 to 34 years	70	±72	37	±57
35 to 39 years	151	±208	0	±14
40 to 44 years	98	±88	71	±95
45 to 49 years	32	±54	58	±98
50 to 54 years	9	±17	61	±71
55 to 59 years	33	±40	18	±30
60 and 61 years	0	±14	0	±14
62 to 64 years	0	±14	0	±14
65 and 66 years	0	±14	0	±14
67 to 69 years	11	±19	19	±32
70 to 74 years	0	±14	0	±14
75 to 79 years	17	±28	13	±21
80 to 84 years	25	±31	0	±14
85 years and over	14	±24	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
18 and 19 years	11	±19	0	±14
20 years	55	±87	0	±14
21 years	0	±14	85	±99
22 to 24 years	18	±22	0	±14
25 to 29 years	58	±73	27	±45
30 to 34 years	35	±41	37	±51
35 to 39 years	51	±50	0	±14
40 to 44 years	93	±70	0	±14
45 to 49 years	33	±35	17	±31
50 to 54 years	44	±60	71	±82
55 to 59 years	14	±24	73	±118
60 and 61 years	0	±14	8	±14
62 to 64 years	22	±36	30	±37
65 and 66 years	42	±56	0	±14
67 to 69 years	0	±14	6	±13
70 to 74 years	45	±56	26	±44
75 to 79 years	0	±14	0	±14
80 to 84 years	0	±14	0	±14
85 years and over	0	±14	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

	<b>Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
18 and 19 years	0	±14	7	±12
20 years	0	±14	0	±14
21 years	37	±58	0	±14
22 to 24 years	56	±66	8	±13
25 to 29 years	155	±103	8	±13
30 to 34 years	87	±70	48	±45
35 to 39 years	78	±69	63	±49
40 to 44 years	153	±113	10	±14
45 to 49 years	228	±207	29	±22
50 to 54 years	161	±125	80	±73
55 to 59 years	38	±54	65	±55
60 and 61 years	19	±28	19	±24
62 to 64 years	0	±14	0	±14
65 and 66 years	0	±14	0	±14
67 to 69 years	52	±48	16	±18
70 to 74 years	52	±54	8	±12
75 to 79 years	36	±49	0	±14
80 to 84 years	15	±35	0	±14
85 years and over	37	±41	10	±11

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B01001

<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
18 and 19 years	0	±14
20 years	0	±14
21 years	53	±77
22 to 24 years	118	±85
25 to 29 years	162	±88
30 to 34 years	139	±84
35 to 39 years	43	±40
40 to 44 years	61	±49
45 to 49 years	108	±90
50 to 54 years	32	±27
55 to 59 years	71	±37
60 and 61 years	21	±24
62 to 64 years	67	±54
65 and 66 years	0	±14
67 to 69 years	13	±15
70 to 74 years	0	±14
75 to 79 years	0	±14
80 to 84 years	0	±14
85 years and over	0	±14

<b>SEX BY AGE BY DISABILITY STATUS</b>		<b>United States® Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	B18101	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2021	
DATASET:	ACSDT1Y2021	
PRODUCT:	ACS 1-Year Estimates Detailed Tables	
UNIVERSE:	Civilian noninstitutionalized population	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs1">https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs1</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
GEOS	Miami-Dade County, Florida	
TOPICS	Disability	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	
<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>		
	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?q=miami+dade+county&amp;t=Disability&amp;tid=ACSDT1Y2021.B18101">https://data.census.gov/table?q=miami+dade+county&amp;t=Disability&amp;tid=ACSDT1Y2021.B18101</a>	

Table: ACSDT1Y2021.B18101

<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.
	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2021 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates
	Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented
	The Census Bureau introduced a new set of disability questions in the 2008 ACS questionnaire. Accordingly, comparisons of disability data from 2008 or later with data from prior years are not recommended. For more information on these questions and their evaluation in the 2006 ACS Content Test, see the Evaluation Report Covering Disability.
	The 2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineations due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.
	Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Table: ACSDT1Y2021.B18101

	<p>Explanation of Symbols:- The estimate could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations. For a ratio of medians estimate, one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution. For a 5-year median estimate, the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.N The estimate or margin of error cannot be displayed because there were an insufficient number of sample cases in the selected geographic area. (X) The estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.median- The median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "2,500-")median+ The median falls in the highest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "250,000+").** The margin of error could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations.*** The margin of error could not be computed because the median falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution.***** A margin of error is not appropriate because the corresponding estimate is controlled to an independent population or housing estimate. Effectively, the corresponding estimate has no sampling error and the margin of error may be treated as zero.</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: ACSDT1Y2021.B18101

	Miami-Dade County, Florida	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error
Total:	2,640,092	±2,951
Male:	1,286,860	±3,023
Under 5 years:	74,450	±150
With a disability	149	±249
No disability	74,301	±306
5 to 17 years:	198,700	±446
With a disability	10,907	±2,526
No disability	187,793	±2,554
18 to 34 years:	285,051	±1,939
With a disability	16,733	±2,670
No disability	268,318	±3,232
35 to 64 years:	541,772	±1,630
With a disability	47,798	±4,619
No disability	493,974	±4,849
65 to 74 years:	109,660	±351
With a disability	22,181	±2,496
No disability	87,479	±2,592
75 years and over:	77,227	±579
With a disability	33,419	±3,028
No disability	43,808	±2,823
Female:	1,353,232	±1,303
Under 5 years:	71,310	±89
With a disability	473	±501
No disability	70,837	±514
5 to 17 years:	192,230	±318
With a disability	5,126	±1,411
No disability	187,104	±1,365
18 to 34 years:	281,170	±958
With a disability	10,804	±2,124
No disability	270,366	±2,134



Table: ACSDT1Y2021.B18101

	<b>Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
35 to 64 years:	551,270	±711
With a disability	46,259	±4,438
No disability	505,011	±4,584
65 to 74 years:	136,239	±349
With a disability	29,406	±2,855
No disability	106,833	±2,820
75 years and over:	121,013	±775
With a disability	61,537	±3,571
No disability	59,476	±3,731

<b>HOUSEHOLDS AND FAMILIES</b>		<b>United States<sup>®</sup> Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	S1101	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2021	
DATASET:	ACSST1Y2021	
PRODUCT:	ACS 1-Year Estimates Subject Tables	
UNIVERSE:	None	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs1/subject">https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs1/subject</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
GEOS	Miami-Dade County, Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 3; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida	
TOPICS	Families and Living Arrangements	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S1101

<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>	<p><a href="https://data.census.gov/table?q=population+in+Miami-Dade+County,+Florida&amp;t=Families+and+Living+Arrangements&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=ACSST1Y2021.S1101">https://data.census.gov/table?q=population+in+Miami-Dade+County,+Florida&amp;t=Families+and+Living+Arrangements&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=ACSST1Y2021.S1101</a></p>
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	<p>Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.</p>
	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	<p>Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2021 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates</p>
	<p>Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented</p>
	<p>Average family size is derived by dividing the number of related people in households by the number of family households.</p>
	<p>Housing unit weight is used throughout this table (only exception is the average household and family size cells).</p>
	<p>The 2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineations due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.</p>
	<p>Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.</p>

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S1101

	<p>Explanation of Symbols:- The estimate could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations. For a ratio of medians estimate, one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution. For a 5-year median estimate, the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.N The estimate or margin of error cannot be displayed because there were an insufficient number of sample cases in the selected geographic area. (X) The estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.median- The median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "2,500-")median+ The median falls in the highest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "250,000+").** The margin of error could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations.*** The margin of error could not be computed because the median falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution.***** A margin of error is not appropriate because the corresponding estimate is controlled to an independent population or housing estimate. Effectively, the corresponding estimate has no sampling error and the margin of error may be treated as zero</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S1101

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Married-couple family household	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
HOUSEHOLDS				
Total households	963,477	±6,902	413,773	±9,197
Average household size	2.73	±0.02	3.46	±0.04
FAMILIES				
Total families	652,109	±9,418	413,773	±9,197
Average family size	3.30	±0.04	3.43	±0.04
AGE OF OWN CHILDREN				
Households with own children of the householder under 18 years	257,236	±6,866	158,026	±5,830
Under 6 years only	19.9%	±1.4	19.5%	±1.7
Under 6 years and 6 to 17 years	16.5%	±1.5	18.2%	±2.1
6 to 17 years only	63.6%	±1.8	62.3%	±2.2
Total households	963,477	±6,902	413,773	±9,197
SELECTED HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE				
Households with one or more people under 18 years	30.9%	±0.8	42.4%	±1.2
Households with one or more people 60 years and over	43.8%	±0.6	44.5%	±1.3
Households with one or more people 65 year and over	34.1%	±0.5	(X)	(X)
Householder living alone	25.8%	±0.8	(X)	(X)
65 years and over	10.0%	±0.5	(X)	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE				
1-unit structures	53.1%	±0.8	66.4%	±1.3
2-or-more-unit structures	45.6%	±0.9	32.4%	±1.3
Mobile homes and all other types of units	1.3%	±0.2	1.1%	±0.3
HOUSING TENURE				

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S1101

	Male householder, no spouse present, family household		Female householder, no spouse present, family household	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
HOUSEHOLDS				
Total households	68,746	±5,706	169,590	±7,797
Average household size	3.20	±0.11	3.43	±0.08
FAMILIES				
Total families	68,746	±5,706	169,590	±7,797
Average family size	2.83	±0.11	3.19	±0.08
AGE OF OWN CHILDREN				
Households with own children of the householder under 18 years	23,776	±3,331	75,434	±5,164
Under 6 years only	25.0%	±5.9	19.0%	±3.0
Under 6 years and 6 to 17 years	14.8%	±6.5	13.6%	±2.8
6 to 17 years only	60.2%	±7.6	67.4%	±3.1
Total households	68,746	±5,706	169,590	±7,797
SELECTED HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE				
Households with one or more people under 18 years	42.5%	±3.9	53.5%	±2.2
Households with one or more people 60 years and over	40.9%	±3.5	40.3%	±2.4
Households with one or more people 65 year and over	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Householder living alone	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
65 years and over	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
UNITS IN STRUCTURE				
1-unit structures	58.2%	±4.1	54.8%	±2.3
2-or-more-unit structures	40.4%	±4.0	42.8%	±2.3
Mobile homes and all other types of units	1.4%	±0.8	2.3%	±0.7
HOUSING TENURE				

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S1101

	<b>Nonfamily household</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
<b>HOUSEHOLDS</b>		
Total households	311,368	±9,521
Average household size	1.25	±0.02
<b>FAMILIES</b>		
Total families	(X)	(X)
Average family size	(X)	(X)
<b>AGE OF OWN CHILDREN</b>		
Households with own children of the householder under 18 years	(X)	(X)
Under 6 years only	(X)	(X)
Under 6 years and 6 to 17 years	(X)	(X)
6 to 17 years only	(X)	(X)
Total households	311,368	±9,521
<b>SELECTED HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE</b>		
Households with one or more people under 18 years	0.7%	±0.3
Households with one or more people 60 years and over	45.2%	±1.7
Households with one or more people 65 year and over	36.0%	±1.4
Householder living alone	79.8%	±1.3
65 years and over	30.9%	±1.3
<b>UNITS IN STRUCTURE</b>		
1-unit structures	33.2%	±1.7
2-or-more-unit structures	65.7%	±1.7
Mobile homes and all other types of units	1.1%	±0.3
<b>HOUSING TENURE</b>		

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S1101

	Miami-Dade County, Florida			
	Total		Married-couple family household	
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error
Owner-occupied housing units	52.5%	±0.9	65.1%	±1.5
Renter-occupied housing units	47.5%	±0.9	34.9%	±1.5



Table: ACSST1Y2021.S1101

	<b>Male householder, no spouse present, family household</b>		<b>Female householder, no spouse present, family household</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Owner-occupied housing units	48.0%	±4.1	44.4%	±2.4
Renter-occupied housing units	52.0%	±4.1	55.6%	±2.4

Table: ACSST1Y2021.S1101

		<b>Nonfamily household</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	
Owner-occupied housing units	41.3%	±1.9	
Renter-occupied housing units	58.7%	±1.9	

<b>HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE</b>		<b>United States<sup>®</sup> Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	B11012	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2021	
DATASET:	ACSDT5Y2021	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables	
UNIVERSE:	Households	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5">https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
GEOS	Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 3; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida	
TOPICS	Families and Living Arrangements	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B11012

<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?t=Families+and+Living+Arrangements&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B11012">https://data.census.gov/table?t=Families+and+Living+Arrangements&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B11012</a>
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	<p>Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.</p>
	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	<p>Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates</p>
	<p>Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented</p>
	<p>The "children of the householder" and "own children of the householder" concepts are combined in these estimates. For more information, please the following User Note .</p>
	<p>The 2017-2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.</p>
	<p>Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.</p>

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B11012

	<p>Explanation of Symbols:- The estimate could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations. For a ratio of medians estimate, one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution. For a 5-year median estimate, the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.N The estimate or margin of error cannot be displayed because there were an insufficient number of sample cases in the selected geographic area. (X) The estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.median- The median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "2,500-")median+ The median falls in the highest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "250,000+").** The margin of error could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations.*** The margin of error could not be computed because the median falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution.***** A margin of error is not appropriate because the corresponding estimate is controlled to an independent population or housing estimate. Effectively, the corresponding estimate has no sampling error and the margin of error may be treated as zero.</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B11012

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	670	±256	560	±240
Married-couple household:	155	±88	68	±70
With children of the householder under 18 years	14	±23	39	±62
With no children of the householder under 18 years	141	±86	29	±35
Cohabiting couple household:	80	±85	74	±98
With children of the householder under 18 years	0	±14	56	±93
With no children of the householder under 18 years	80	±85	18	±31
Female householder, no spouse or partner present:	306	±234	270	±214
Living alone	109	±70	151	±124
With children of the householder under 18 years	151	±208	119	±185
With relatives, no children of the householder under 18 years	32	±54	0	±14
With only nonrelatives present	14	±23	0	±14
Male householder, no spouse or partner present:	129	±101	148	±116
Living alone	129	±101	148	±116
With children of the householder under 18 years	0	±14	0	±14
With relatives, no children of the householder under 18 years	0	±14	0	±14
With only nonrelatives present	0	±14	0	±14

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B11012

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	579	±196	457	±204
Married-couple household:	228	±124	213	±168
With children of the householder under 18 years	38	±35	71	±82
With no children of the householder under 18 years	190	±118	142	±150
Cohabiting couple household:	64	±59	28	±49
With children of the householder under 18 years	20	±32	0	±14
With no children of the householder under 18 years	44	±52	28	±49
Female householder, no spouse or partner present:	116	±68	72	±68
Living alone	90	±66	72	±68
With children of the householder under 18 years	0	±14	0	±14
With relatives, no children of the householder under 18 years	26	±43	0	±14
With only nonrelatives present	0	±14	0	±14
Male householder, no spouse or partner present:	171	±127	144	±86
Living alone	90	±96	132	±84
With children of the householder under 18 years	0	±14	0	±14
With relatives, no children of the householder under 18 years	81	±82	0	±14
With only nonrelatives present	0	±14	12	±20

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B11012

	<b>Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	1,054	±234	369	±104
Married-couple household:	611	±186	225	±84
With children of the householder under 18 years	311	±126	102	±65
With no children of the householder under 18 years	300	±195	123	±59
Cohabiting couple household:	135	±125	0	±14
With children of the householder under 18 years	51	±61	0	±14
With no children of the householder under 18 years	84	±104	0	±14
Female householder, no spouse or partner present:	114	±87	94	±58
Living alone	53	±50	68	±44
With children of the householder under 18 years	38	±54	0	±14
With relatives, no children of the householder under 18 years	0	±14	26	±39
With only nonrelatives present	23	±36	0	±14
Male householder, no spouse or partner present:	194	±125	50	±43
Living alone	136	±118	50	±43
With children of the householder under 18 years	0	±14	0	±14
With relatives, no children of the householder under 18 years	58	±64	0	±14
With only nonrelatives present	0	±14	0	±14



Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B11012

<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	877	±152
Married-couple household:	224	±86
With children of the householder under 18 years	44	±29
With no children of the householder under 18 years	180	±81
Cohabiting couple household:	112	±66
With children of the householder under 18 years	0	±14
With no children of the householder under 18 years	112	±66
Female householder, no spouse or partner present:	349	±114
Living alone	135	±64
With children of the householder under 18 years	89	±56
With relatives, no children of the householder under 18 years	78	±71
With only nonrelatives present	47	±54
Male householder, no spouse or partner present:	192	±91
Living alone	166	±94
With children of the householder under 18 years	14	±21
With relatives, no children of the householder under 18 years	12	±19
With only nonrelatives present	0	±14

<b>TOTAL POPULATION IN OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS BY TENURE</b>		<b>United States<sup>®</sup> Census Bureau</b>
<b>Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.</b>		
<b>DATA NOTES</b>		
TABLE ID:	B25008	
SURVEY/PROGRAM:	American Community Survey	
VINTAGE:	2021	
DATASET:	ACSDT5Y2021	
PRODUCT:	ACS 5-Year Estimates Detailed Tables	
UNIVERSE:	Total population in occupied housing units	
FTP URL:	None	
API URL:	<a href="https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5">https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5</a>	
<b>USER SELECTIONS</b>		
GEOS	Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.18; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 3; Census Tract 39.17; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 1; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida; Block Group 2; Census Tract 13.01; Miami-Dade County; Florida	
TOPICS	Owner/Renter (Householder) Characteristics	
<b>EXCLUDED COLUMNS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED FILTERS</b>		
	None	
<b>APPLIED SORTS</b>		
	None	
<b>PIVOT &amp; GROUPING</b>		
PIVOT COLUMNS	None	
PIVOT MODE	Off	
ROW GROUPS	None	
VALUE COLUMNS	None	

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B25008

<b>WEB ADDRESS</b>	<a href="https://data.census.gov/table?t=Owner/Renter+(Householder)+Characteristics&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B25008">https://data.census.gov/table?t=Owner/Renter+(Householder)+Characteristics&amp;g=1500000US120860013011,120860013012,120860039171,120860039172,120860039173,120860039181,120860039182&amp;tid=ACSDT5Y2021.B25008</a>
<b>TABLE NOTES</b>	<p>Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.</p>
	<p>Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.</p> <p>Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.</p>
	Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
	<p>Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented</p>
	<p>The 2017-2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.</p>
	<p>Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.</p>

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B25008

	<p>Explanation of Symbols:- The estimate could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations. For a ratio of medians estimate, one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution. For a 5-year median estimate, the margin of error associated with a median was larger than the median itself.N The estimate or margin of error cannot be displayed because there were an insufficient number of sample cases in the selected geographic area. (X) The estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.median- The median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "2,500-")median+ The median falls in the highest interval of an open-ended distribution (for example "250,000+").** The margin of error could not be computed because there were an insufficient number of sample observations.*** The margin of error could not be computed because the median falls in the lowest interval or highest interval of an open-ended distribution.***** A margin of error is not appropriate because the corresponding estimate is controlled to an independent population or housing estimate. Effectively, the corresponding estimate has no sampling error and the margin of error may be treated as zero.</p>
<b>COLUMN NOTES</b>	None

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B25008

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 13.01, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	1,177	±520	1,032	±545
Owner occupied	294	±126	273	±329
Renter occupied	883	±533	759	±522

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B25008

	<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	1,366	±542	983	±547
Owner occupied	264	±151	513	±474
Renter occupied	1,102	±516	470	±317

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B25008

	<b>Block Group 3, Census Tract 39.17, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		<b>Block Group 1, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>	
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	2,861	±694	880	±291
Owner occupied	1,220	±625	454	±206
Renter occupied	1,641	±835	426	±221

Table: ACSDT5Y2021.B25008

<b>Block Group 2, Census Tract 39.18, Miami-Dade County, Florida</b>		
<b>Label</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Margin of Error</b>
Total:	1,825	±391
Owner occupied	472	±173
Renter occupied	1,353	±376